[ms-0398.012.011.011]

Sunshine

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

INTER-DEPARTMENTAL CORRESPONDENCE

September 21, 1971

TO: F. J. Morey, Chief Administrative Officer
Walter Hahn, City Manager of San Diego
John F. Duffy, Sheriff
R. J. Hoobler, San Diego Chief of Police

FROM: James F. Gleason

ASSEMBLY BILLS 2693 and 2682

On September 16, 1971, I sent a memo to the City Manager and the Chief Administrative Officer with copies to the Sheriff, the Chief of Police, and others who are concerned with criminal justice planning. Attached to that memo was an analysis of Assembly Bill 2693 which would make various changes in organization, membership, powers, duties, and administration of the California Council on Criminal Justice. The principal points made in that analysis had to do with regional control over the regional plan, local membership on the CCCJ, and the basis for allocating funds to the regions.

On September 16, 1971, Assemblyman Robert W. Crown, Chairman of the Assembly Select Committee on the Administration of Justice, sent the attached memorandum to all Regional Criminal Justice Planning Board Chairmen and Directors. This memorandum was to advise of amendments to Assembly Bills 2693 and 2682. It also advises that this legislation will be heard before the Assembly Criminal Justice Committee on September 21st. We have since been advised that this hearing will be held on September 28th. This permits time to respond to the Assembly Committee regarding the bills and the amendments.

It is my opinion that AB 2693, as amended, overcomes all of the objections that I outlined in my previous analysis with the exception of specifically naming Chief Administrative Officers and City Managers as the additional local government representatives. Worth noting is the fact that the allocation formula in Section 13813 of AB 2693 has been altered favorably to insure that the distribution of the annual state bloc grant will be on the basis of population with additional funds to areas of "economically high crime incidence or to projects to reduce specific crimes". This overcomes our prior objection to the three factor formula that tended to penalize San Diego by considering county crime rate which, as you know, is 30th out of the state's 58 counties.

Assembly Bill 2682 declares that local criminal justice planning boards exist as extensions of units of local government and pursuant to their mandate, rather than as "administrative extension of the California Council on Criminal Justice" which is currently the Council position. The bill also increases the amount of money for local planning by limiting the CCCJ portion of the annual federal planning

grant to 35% of the total. At the present time, the CCCJ receives 60% of the annual planning grant with the balance being divided among the regions. The bill also provides needed clarification of the roles of the CCCJ and the local planning boards in producing the annual criminal justice plan.

Jim

JAMES F. GLEASON

JFG:eh

Attachments

SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY 1897