[ms-0398.007.001.003]

(Polling Place)

NOTICE OF ARGUMENT DEADLINE FOR

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that an election will be held in the County of San Diego on November 3, 1992 which there will be submitted to the qualified electors of the district the llowing measure(s):

A

SAN DIEGO COUNTY JUSTICE-RELATED FACILITIES, LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIME PREVENTION FINANCING ORDINANCE AND INCREASE IN THE APPROPRIATION LIMIT OF THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

Shall approval be given to (1) an ordinance of the County of San Diego imposing a one-half of one percent retail transactions and use tax to be used solely for the provision, construction, and operating expenses of justice-related facilities and specified related matters, and law enforcement activities and crime prevention projects, and (2) an increase in the appropriation limit of the County of San Diego in the amount equal to the amount of such tax to be collected?

B

PROPOSED "JUST SAY NO" AMENDMENT TO THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY CHARTER LIMITING THE VALIDITY OF ANY PROPOSED CHARTER AMENDMENTS IMPOSING MANDATORY COSTS

Shall the San Diego County Charter be amended by adding Section 805 to the Charter which would require that for any proposed Charter amendment imposing mandatory costs to be valid, the proposed Charter amendment must be accompanied by a new and legally available funding source?

C

PROPOSED INITIATIVE MEASURE AMENDING THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY CHARTER TO PROVIDE FOR MINIMUM STAFFING FOR THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

Shall Section 605 of the San Diego County Charter be amended which (1) would impose a mandatory requirement on the County Board of Supervisors to allocate sufficient funds to employ minimum numbers of deputy sheriffs based upon the number of inhabitants of the unincorporated areas of the County and minimum numbers of correctional deputy sheriffs based upon the number of inmates in the Sheriff detention facilities, and (2) would impose a mandatory requirement on the Sheriff to appoint, direct and supervise such minimum numbers of deputy sheriffs and correctional deputy sheriffs?

If more than one measure is to be on the ballot, separate arguments should be written for each measure.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that pursuant to Article 3, Chapter 2, Division 5 of the California Elections Code, the legislative body of said district, or any member or members thereof authorized by such body, or any individual voter or bonafide association of citizens, or any combination of such voters and associations may file a written argument, not to exceed 300 words in length, for or against a measure. Said arguments shall be accompanied by the statement required by Elections Code Section 5350. Please call 694-3404 for information regarding this statement.

Printed on recycled paper

PROPOSITION C

PROPOSED INITIATIVE MEASURE AMENDING THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY CHARTER TO PROVIDE FOR MINIMUM STAFFING FOR THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF PROPOSITION C

Crime at Record Levels and Climbing!

Burglary, gang shootings and homicides
continue to increase!

Since 1985, violent crime is up 70%

But that is more than twice as fast as deputies were hired for the unincorporated areas.

Are we losing to the criminals?

The County built the new East Mesa Jail but wouldn't staff it for over a year. Its 1,500 jail beds sat empty while almost 700,000 warrants went unserved. Violent criminals are released early to ease jail overcrowding.

Are we losing to the criminals?

From a County budget of 1.9 BILLION, County bureaucrats and politicians claim they can't hire at least 2.25 deputies to serve each thousand unincorporated residents? In two years, County salaries and benefits have grown 142 million but there's no money to open new jails?

Or, are we really losing to the politicians and bureaucrats!

The "S.A.F.E. County Minimum Staffing Measure" makes the bureaucrats put your safety first.

Makes them reprioritize the massive county budget.
Gives them until 1994 to reasonably adjust budgets.
Then, it gives them until 1997 to meet the minimum standards!

THAT'S WHY PROPOSITION C DOES NOT RAISE TAXES. Existing revenue, if properly and efficiently spent, can hire every deputy needed.

EXPECT WILD INTERPRETATIONS AND PREDICTIONS OF DISASTER!
DON'T BE FOOLED!

PUT THE SAFETY OF YOU AND YOUR FAMILY FIRST!

A YES VOTE on Proposition C turns the tide against the criminals. A YES VOTE means spending existing taxes properly. A YES VOTE means:

No tax increases!
Better use of existing revenues!
Jails opened and properly staffed!
Homicide investigators to close the 150 unsolved murders sitting in file cabinets!
Patrol deputies to catch the 12,700 crooks who broke into your homes, stole your cars, or held you up at gunpoint last year!

VOTE YES ON PROPOSITION C!
MAKE YOUR COUNTY S.A.F.E. ONCE AGAIN

RANDALL DIBB
Deputy Sheriffs' Association President

E. F. "SKIP" MURPHY
President, San Diego and
Imperial Chapter PORAC

DANIEL P. SULLIVAN
Parents of Murdered Children

DIANNE JACOB
Member, Jamul-Dulzura School Board

EDWARD B. MEYER
Foreman, County Grand Jury (1986-1987)

SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY 1897

BALLOT ARGUMENT OPPOSING THE MINIMUM STAFF FOR SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT INITIATIVE

We all know that San Diego County's financial problems are at crisis level. Adding new requirements without any way to pay for them makes no sense.

The Board of Supervisors supports adequate law enforcement staffing. Law enforcement has always been our #1 priority. Over the past 11 years, the Sheriff's budget has increased by more than $98 million dollars and 1,168 new employees. The County has nearly doubled the inmate capacity since 1985.

Locking in artificial, rigid requirements with no funding source is the wrong approach. Adding new requirements without a funding source means that we would face cuts in other important services such as medical assistance to children and families, libraries, parks and anti-gang and drug programs.

Proposition C will require almost twice as many correctional officers as needed at new "state of the art," staff efficient jails such as East Mesa. Locking in mandatory staff levels for our jails will arbitrarily limit our ability to continue to add jail capacity and get criminals off our streets.

Opposing this measure is consistent with the County's "Just Say No" position on all unfunded mandates. Let's not create an even worse problem at the local level!

NO ON PROPOSITION C

BRIAN P. BILBRAY
County Supervisor

LEON L. WILLIAMS
County Supervisor

COUNTY COUNSEL'S IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS

Existing provisions of the San Diego County Charter and state law provide that the Board of Supervisors, as the elective legislative body of the County of San Diego, shall fix the number of nonelective employees and prepare and adopt the County budget, based on available revenues and the needs of the County. This initiative measure, if approved by the voters, would amend section 605 of the San Diego County Charter to require the Board of Supervisors to appropriate sufficient funds to employ a minimum number of sworn deputy sheriffs and sworn correctional staff to provide patrol, investigation and detention services for the Sheriff's Department without regard to available resources and prior to the funding of other County services. This appropriation is based on the following separate formulas:

Date	Minimum Number of Sworn Deputy Sheriffs*	Minimum Number of Sworn Correctional Staff**
January 1, 1994	1.50	0.20
January 1, 1995	1.75	0.22
January 1, 1996	2.00	0.24
January 1, 1997 and thereafter	2.25	0.25

* per 1000 inhabitants of the unincorporated area of the County
** per inmate in detention facilities under jurisdiction of the Sheriff

Thus, the initiative measure establishes separate minimum staffing requirements on a department-wide basis for (1) sworn deputy sheriffs to provide patrol and investigative services and administrative support, based on the population in the unincorporated are of the County on designated dates and (2) sworn correctional staff to provide detention services, and administrative support, based on the inmate population on designated dates in detention facilities under the jurisdiction of the Sheriff.

Although not expressly defined, the terms "sworn deputy sheriff" and "sworn correctional staff", as used in the context of the initiative measure, would include all the various classifications of sworn persons employed by the County of San Diego within the Sheriff's Department to provide patrol, investigation and detention services, except the Under-Sheriff and Assistant Sheriffs which the Charter specifically limits to one and three positions respectively.

Existing law gives the Sheriff discretion to make the appointments to fill positions authorized by the Board of Supervisors. This initiative measure would require the Sheriff to make the appointments of the minimum staffing levels established by the initiative measure.

A "Yes" vote on the initiative measure is a vote to adopt the amendment to section 605 of the San Diego County Charter requiring the County of San Diego to provide defined required minimum staffing levels for the San Diego County Sheriff's Department.

A "No" vote on the initiative measure is a vote against requiring the County of San Diego to provide defined required minimum staffing levels for the San Diego County Sheriff's Department.