[MS-0087.001.004.007]

CURE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING
February 19, 1969 7:30 p.m.
Unitarian Church
4190 Front Street
Shuf Swift President

Present: Swift, Bloom, Lloyd, Margolis, Larry & Kay Carlin, Nikki Symington, Helen Burke, McKenna

1. Minutes of February 5th meeting approved as corrected

2. Correspondence

3. Treasurer's balance $379.00

4. Urban Coalition Communication Task force

Marty and Shuf reported their meeting on Feb. 12 with the sub-committee of the communications task force. The essence was that the Urban Coalition had no money and were not going to give CURE any of the $50,000 they had received from the George Scott foundation. They suggested we apply to the foundation for funds. They plan to publish their own newsletter and wish to have a circulation of 100,000 to 200,000. Marty & Shuf will be meeting with Mr. Quirk next week.

On a later date the total communications task force met. Clarence Irving queried them on their commitment to us and CURE was again rejected.

5. Cabrillo Foundation

Shuf and Marty met with them and learned that they are unable to give us financial support because their money must be written off for tax deduction and we don't qualify because of our ability to lobby.
They may supply someone to work with us for fund raising purposes.

6. Newsletter

Shuf reported that San Diego State could print the Newsletter for $95 and Neyenesch for $73.50. The present printer doesn't Have equipment to process it the instant print method.

Nikki plans to resign as editor of the Newsletter in May. She thinks we need separate editors for the Newsletter and magazine. May would be a better time to consider change of format and let the new people decide how they want to produce the Newsletter. She would like to be the magazine editor and continue to be a part of the editorial board. She has some names she could suggest for Newsletter editor.

Marty wondered if replacements could work as assistant editor on the next Newsletter. The editor should be a member of the board of directors.

Marty recommended we use the new logo with this next edition.

Estelle Chacon's letter was discussed and considered. We felt it should be responded to with a letter, learn the facts and agreement with WBSI, etc. and have Estelle incorporate them in a shorter concise letter. Nikki will write the letter to Estelle.

7. San Diego State will take the CURE library next week.

8. UCSD Workshop

Larry Carlin will be working for Martin Chamberlain at UC extension starting March 17th.

The idea was proposed that CURE utilizing students which in turn utilize CURE members and the community conduct a housing survey or door to door canvass to have people sign an open housing covenant. League of Women Voters will be doing a housing study and could possibly use the information. Maybe the leased housing program could use it.

Marty wasn't sure a survey is the best way to approach the housing authority. The survey would be outdated quickly because people move so often. Communicate directly with the housing authority on matter of interest

Nikki fet it might be a good vehicle by which people would make contacts with their neighbors to create interest in the problem, possibly discover like opinions and maybe create opportunity for dialogue.

There will be more consideration on this idea in the future.

9. School Bonds

Shuf reported he had talked with Polinsky and the indication was that Polinsky would never have taken the position as drive chairman if he hadn't been sure that the bonds would be used in some way to correct racial balance. However, Shuf's conversation with Ingram revealed that the schools were to be rebuilt on their existing sites because only part of the schools need rebuilding or repairing. Complete relocation would be more expensive.

Dot said her conversation with Mrs. Dyer collaberated this, but that Mrs. Dyer said she was in favor of correcting racial imbalance but that this bond issue had nothing to do with that. It was a separate problem.

She further discussed the bonds with Tom Johnson. He indicated there was no excitement being generated for or against them as they had to consider priorities and did not have enough energy to wage a campaign in which they couldn't wield enough

power to get what they wanted - (ideally a freedom from discrimination and each person having the opportunity to develop a wholesome and positive self-image regardless of whether the school was integrated or not. Immediately, they would support any effort which would give them a voice in the choice of Lincoln High's principal and certain other changes they desire. Integration for numbers sake does not interest them.

Lengthy discussion ensued in which the following points were considered. Dick had received numerous phone calls about the bonds.

Reasons for voting for the bonds:

1. Danger - physical danger of the schools

2. Negotiate - possibility of groups being able to negotiate with the Board of Education after the bonds were passed

3. Backlash - Good chance of the issue to fail due to the backlash towards education

Questions raised about the above three points were:

1. When did the shools become unsafe? Hasn't it been for some time? Will another 6 months make the difference?

2. Less than 25% of the money is for repair. 47% is for new school construction to fill growth requirements.

3. Don't be too violently opposed at this time and hope it will fail by a small percentage and then negotiate with the board for them to gain our support for passage

4. The 15% State Board ruling, present and future legal suits make it possible for our money to be misspent as they now propose to use it. (perpetuation of imbalance)

Reasons for opposition:

1. It perpetuates racial imbalance and offers no solution to the problem.

2. It gives an opportunity for the minority to exert pressure on the board for needed changes (e.g. curriculum)

Points to be included in CURE's statement to the Board of Education:

1. We are concerned about the schools. Completely in favor of the best and most modern schools.

a. including modernplant, curriculum, teaching processes etc.

b. we have supported past bond issues

c. willing to pay for superior schools

2. We oppose the use of money to rebuild on present sites because it perpetuates the neighborhood school system as it now exists.

3. We will continue to oppose every bond issue which doesn't move to creative inovation to solve the racial problems.

4. Educational park is one suggestion that could help combat the problem and attract support of San Diego citizens.

Preclusion of the waste of taxpayers money by solving the problem now and avoiding law suits in the future (in light of the 15% ruling) which would necessitate more revenues to correct imbalance.

To claim racial balance is a problem to be dealt with separately from the bond issue is untrue. As long as it's treated this way the problem will not be solved.

Acquire a recognition of the importance and priority for solution on the part of the Board.

10. Nominating Committee

The nominating committee will be

Gail Durson (Nikki alternate)
Dick Schwitzgebel
Gladys Galian (Melba alternate)
Shuf

Charge them to nominate a slate of 15 directors by first Wed. in March.

Announce by postcard one week before the March meeting that there will be a nominating meeting at the end of March and election at the end of April.

Respectfully submitted,

Dorothy M. Lloyd
secretary

CURE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING
February 19, 1969 7:30 p.m.
Unitarian Church
4190 Front Street
Shuf Swift President

Present: Swift, Bloom, Lloyd, Margolis, Larry & Kay Carlin, Nikki Symington, Helen Burke, McKenna

1. Minutes of February 5th meeting approved as corrected

2. Correspondence

3. Treasurer's balance $379.00

4. Urban Coalition Communication Task force

Marty and Shuf reported their meeting on Feb. 12 with the sub-committee of the communications task force. The essence was that the Urban Coalition had no money and were not going to give CURE any of the $50,000 they had received from the George Scott foundation. They suggested we apply to the foundation for funds. They plan to publish their own newsletter and wish to have a circulation of 100,000 to 200,000. Marty & Shuf will be meeting with Mr. Quirk next week.

On a later date the total communications task force met. Clarence Irving queried them on their commitment to us and CURE was again rejected.

5. Cabrillo Foundation

Shuf and Marty met with them and learned that they are unable to give us financial support because their money must be written off for tax deduction and we don't qualify because of our ability to lobby.
They may supply someone to work with us for fund raising purposes.

6. Newsletter

Shuf reported that San Diego State could print the Newsletter for $95 and Neyenesch for $73.50. The present printer doesn't have equipment to process it the instant print method.

Nikki plans to resign as editor of the Newsletter in May. She thinks we need separate editors for the Newsletter and magazine. May would be a better time to consider change of format and let the new people decide how they want to produce the Newsletter. She would like to be the magazine editor and continue to be a part of the editorial board. She has some names she could suggest for Newsletter editor.

Marty wondered if replacements could work as assistant editor on the next Newsletter. The editor should be a member of the board of directors.

Marty recommended we use the new logo with this next edition.

Estelle Chacon's letter was discussed and considered. We felt it should be responded to with a letter, learn the facts and agreement with WBSI, etc. and have Estelle incorporate them in a shorter concise letter. Nikki will write the letter to Estelle.

7. San Diego State will take the CURE library next week.

8. UCSD Workshop

Larry Carlin will be working for Martin Chamberlain at UC extension starting March 17th.

The idea was proposed that CURE utilizing students which in turn utilize CURE members and the community conduct a housing survey or door to door canvass to have people sign an open housing covenant. League of Women Voters will be doing a housing study and could possibly use the information. Maybe the leased housing program could use it. * See March BD minutes

Marty wasn't sure a survey is the best way to approach the housing authority. The survey would be outdated quickly because people move so often.

Nikki fet it might be a good vehicle by which people would make contacts with their neighbors to create interest in the problem, possibly discover like opinions and maybe create opportunity for dialogue.

There will be more consideration on this idea in the future.

9. School Bonds

Shuf reported he had talked with Polinsky and the indication was that Polinsky would never have taken the position as drive chairman if he hadn't been sure that the bonds would be used in some way to correct racial balance. However, Shuf's conversation with Ingram revealed that the schools were to be rebuilt on their existing sites because only part of the schools need rebuilding or repairing. Complete relocation would be more expensive.

Dot said her conversation with Mrs. Dyer collaberated this, but that Mrs. Dyer said she was in favor of correcting racial imbalance but that this bond issue had nothing to do with that. It was a separate problem.

She further discussed the bonds with Tom Johnson. He indicated there was no excitement being generated for or against them as they had to consider priorities and did not have enough energy to wage a campaign in which they couldn't wield enough

power to get what they wanted - (ideally a freedom from discrimination and each person having the opportunity to develop a wholesome and positive self-image regardless of whether the school was integrated or not. Immediately, they would support any effort which would give them a voice in the choice of Lincoln High's principal and certain other changes they desire. Integration for numbers sake does not interest them.

Lengthy discussion ensued in which the following points were considered. Dick had received numerous phone calls about the bonds.

Reasons for voting for the bonds:

1. Danger - physical danger of the schools

2. Negotiate - possibility of groups being able to negotiate with the Board of Education after the bonds were passed

3. Backlash - Good chance of the issue to fail due to the backlash towards education

Questions raised about the above three points were:

1. When did the shools become unsafe? Hasn't it been for some time? Will another 6 months make the difference?

2. Less than 25% of the money is for repair. 47% is for new school construction to fill growth requirements.

3. Don't be too violently opposed at this time and hope it will fail by a small percentage and then negotiate with the board for them to gain our support for passage

4. The 15% State Board ruling, present and future legal suits make it possible for our money to be misspent as they now propose to use it. (perpetuation of imbalance)

Reasons for opposition:

1. It perpetuates racial imbalance and offers no solution to the problem.

2. It gives an opportunity for the minority to exert pressure on the board for needed changes (e.g. curriculum)

Points to be included in CURE's statement to the Board of Education:

1. We are concerned about the schools. Completely in favor of the best and most modern schools.

CURE Board of Directors
February 19, 1969

a. including modernplant, curriculum, teaching processes etc.

b. we have supported past bond issues

c. willing to pay for superior schools

2. We oppose the use of money to rebuild on present sites because it perpetuates the neighborhood school system as it now exists.

3. We will continue to oppose every bond issue which doesn't move to creative inovation to solve the racial problems.

4. Educational park is one suggestion that could help combat the problem and attract support of San Diego citizens.

Preclusion of the waste of taxpayers money by solving the problem now and avoiding law suits in the future (in light of the 15% ruling) which would necessitate more revenues to correct imbalance.

To claim racial balance is a problem to be dealt with separately from the bond issue is untrue. As long as it's treated this way the problem will not be solved.

Acquire a recognition of the importance and priority for solution on the part of the Board.

10. Nominating Committee

The nominating committee will be

Gail Durson (Nikki alternate)
Dick Schwitzgebel
Gladys Gallan (Melba alternate)
Shuf

Charge them to nominate a slate of 15 directors by first Wed. in March.

Announce by postcard one week before the March meeting that there will be a nominating meeting at the end of March and election at the end of April.

Respectfully submitted,

Dorothy M. Lloyd
secretary