

San Diego State University Senate Minutes

May 8, 2007

HH221, 2pm-5:30pm

Members present:

Academic Senators (CSU): Aguado, Hornbeck, Perkins.

Administration: Chase, Marlin, Rodriguez.

Arts & Letters: Barbone, Benkov, Cummins, Donadey, Field, Holler, King, Little, McCall, McClish, Moellendorf, Moheammed, Moore, Ornatowski, Sargent, Scott, Wauchope, Wheeler [Absent: Atterton, Perez, Roberts].

Business: Do, Ely, Oestreich, Vik [Absent: Shore].

California Faculty Association: Kennedy.

Education: Cadiero-Kaplan, Park, Rodriguez, Sax, Wang [Absent: Monk, Taylor].

Engineering: May-Newmann [Absent: Burns, Szeto].

Emeritus Faculty: Janssen.

Health & Human Services: Finnegan [Absent: Clapp, Coleman, Mackersie].

IVC: Neumann [Absent: Amaral].

Lecturers: Alonso-Sed, Booth [Absent: Holowach, Olson].

Library: Salem, Turhollow.

PSFA: Borden, Eadie, LaMaster, Orschofka, Renegar, Ritblatt, Schreiber, Sha for Zhong [Absent: Alter, Nelson, Rewoldt, Testa].

Sciences: Beck, Hattrup, Papin, Stewart [Absent: Anderson, Atkins, Bergdahl, Friend, McGuire, O'Sullivan, Reeder].

Staff: Flahan, Nichols, Preciado, Van Horn [Absent: Bojorquez].

University Services: Calfas.

Other Speakers: Lamke, Redo, Sanchez.

1. Agenda (Eadie)

MP Approved Agenda for May 8, 2007.

2. Minutes (Eadie)

MP Approved Minutes of April 10, 2007 as corrected on website.

3. Announcements (Hornbeck)

Action

MP **The Senate approved the following Calendar for 2007-2008:**

Senate Meetings, Tuesdays, Hepner Hall 221 221, 2pm-5:30pm:

September 4, 2007

October 2, 2007

November 6, 2007

December 4, 2007

February 5, 2008

March 11, 2008

April 8, 2008

May 6, 2008

Senate Executive Committee Meetings, Tuesdays, Faculty-Staff Club, 2pm-5:30pm:

August 21, 2007

September 18, 2007

October 16, 2007

November 20, 2007

January 22, 2007

February 19, 2008

March 25, 2008

April 22, 2008

Information

Correspondence from President Weber. The president has asked for an extension on the Freedom of Expression policy to review a document that has been issued recently by the CSU Office of General Consul, to insure that the policy does not conflict with that document.

New and Re-elected Senators 2007-2008 (All terms are for May 2007 - May 2010 except otherwise noted):

Academic Senate

Fred Hornbeck (re-elected; term ends 2010)

Arts & Letters

Atterton, Peter

King, Ron (re-elected)

Moellendorf, Darryl (re-elected)

Mohammed, Khaleel

Perez, Ramona

Roberts, Michael

Sargent, Paul (re-elected)

Wheeler, Mark

Business

No new senators

Education

Taylor, Brent

Wang, Minjuan

Engineering

No new senators

Health & Human Services

Fields, Willa

Mackersie, Carol

Mathiesen, Sally

Imperial Valley Campus

No new senators

Lecturers

To Be Announced

Library

Salem, Linda (re-elected)

PSFA

Rewoldt, Todd

Renegar, Valerie (re-elected)

Schreiber, Loren

Alter, Joe

Sciences

Bergdahl, Mikael (re-elected)

Hatrup, Keith

Reeder, Tod (re-elected)

Stewart, Kris (re-elected)

Staff

Aracely Bojorquez

Jose Preciado

University Services

No new senator

4. **University Administration**

4.1 **Academic Affairs (Marlin)**

Access to Excellence Conference in Newport Beach was held April 24 and 25. We met in Newport Beach at the CSU Summit to discuss the next ten-year plan. Our report was read, and our delegation advocated its major points at the conference. There will be continuing opportunities to respond as the plan develops, and I encourage all of us to continue to monitor this process and to push for our goals in the plan.

The Department of Education's Spellings Commission on the Future of Higher Education has recommended changing the rules to recognize accrediting agencies to require these bodies to require certain curriculum and assessment changes in order for these agencies to retain their authority to accredit. Several national organizations are very concerned about these standards, and we may need to have the SEC meet over the summer to react to these proposed rules.

Discussion:

Senator Park: Are these rules available to be viewed? **Provost Marlin:** Yes, on the Department of Education's website at <http://www.ed.gov/about/bdscomm/list/hiedfuture/plan/index.html>. **Senator Wheeler:** Will Members of Congress be getting involved? **Provost Marlin:** Yes, we think so.

4.2 **University Relations and Development (Redo for Carleton)**

Key results from Marks & Lundy study on our readiness for the comprehensive campaign (interviews, plus 400 online survey responses):

- The community believes that we are ready to engage in our campaign. There was a high approval rating for SDSU, and President Weber is seen as being an effective leader. SDSU is seen as being on the rise;

- The campaign priorities are generally seen as being the right ones. They thought that the priorities needed more definition (which was seen as positive, as they wanted to know more than was provided);
- Affinity is strong, but attachment is less so. We have a lot of opportunity to build relationships, but we'll need to work to build those in order to proceed;
- Many individuals said that they'd like to volunteer to be a part of the campaign. Most of the interviewees said that they would make a gift;
- We tested some numbers and \$500 million seemed about right for the moment; and
- The President will devote 40-50% of his time to the campaign.

Discussion:

Senator Donadey: Did you ask for any feedback on the six priorities? Was the priority on diversity supported? **Asst VP Redo:** Yes, that one garnered a good deal of interest. Scholarships were also singled out. **Senator Wheeler:** Is the affinity for SDSU based around Athletics? Does this brand us in a particular way? **Asst VP Redo:** We tested mostly about academic priorities, with a total value of \$460M in projects. Also tested was the support for KPBS and Athletics in Scholarships, with about \$20 million each.

4.3 Enrollment Services (Sanchez for Steadman)

Action

MP Eadie, Hornbeck. The Senate approved all graduation candidates, subject to completion of requirements. In accordance with Senate policy, the list of baccalaureate degree candidates for May and August 2007 graduation have been distributed. Lists of candidates for advanced degrees, as approved by the Graduate Council, have also been distributed. Each faculty member has been given the opportunity to approve or disapprove the candidates by ballot; a total of 18 ballots were received. A list of late applicants was distributed at the meeting and is available in the Senate office.

Discussion:

Senator Donadey: Can you email us the list of candidates? **Manny Sanchez:** I will bring that up with the director.

5. Auxiliaries and Affiliates

5.1 Associated Students (Ly for Matthews)

New AS Officers. President: James Poet; Exec VP: Jameson DeSantis; VP Finance: Justin Motika; VP Univ Affairs: Mike Matthews; VP Ext Affairs: Jeremy Ehrlich.

John Ly was thanked by the body for his service to the Senate.

Further information: <http://as.sdsu.edu/index.html>

5.2 Academic Senate (Perkins)

No report.

5.3 California Faculty Association (Wheeler)

CFA President Wheeler: Many thanks to all for their support and cooperation during my two years as President of CFA. Carole Kennedy will be representing CFA as the new president.

Contract. The contract has been ratified overwhelmingly, and we had the largest voter turnout in Southern California. The Board of Trustees still needs to ratify the contract, but there is every reason to believe that they will do so at their meeting next week. We do need to assure that the individual universities will not be punished by having the system administration pass the costs of the contract to them.

New CFA Officers: President: Carole Kennedy; VP: Scott Kelley; Secretary: Brad Cook; Treasurer: Nathan Oestreich; Lecturer Rep: Jackie Booth.

Further Information can be found at <http://www.calfac.org/>

5.4 WASC/General Education Update (Chase)

GE Task Force continues to meet and will be bringing proposals back in the fall. We have received some very helpful feedback already, and we'd like to receive more.

6. Senate Committee Reports

6.1 SEC Report (Ornatowski)

Information

Referral Chart (*with amendments in italic*):

Committee	Date	Item	Referred by
AR&P	Nov 8, 2005	Investigate funding to probationary faculty pending information from FA on analysis of Tenure/Tenure-track faculty	Senate
CCE	Nov 29 2005	Review procedures for selection of faculty to serve on search committees for administrators	Officers
CBL	Mar 20, 2006	Review the Policy File in light of EO 969, 970	Officers
CBL	Mar 28, 2006	Policy on naming academic entities	Officers
FHA	Aug 23, 2005	Strategies for simplification of Excellence in Teaching Award criteria and guidelines	SEC
Student Affairs	Oct 24, 2006	Review draft of Policy on Freedom of Expression	Officers
Student Affairs	Aug 29, 2006	Review Student Grievance Code, in particular, clarify section 4.3 (<i>in consultation with APP and Faculty Affairs</i>)	Officers

Discussion:

Senator Wheeler: Has progress been made on the referral on selection of faculty for search committees? **Vice Chair Ornatowski:** Yes, but the problem has turned out to be a larger one than we thought. We intended to expand the discussion to include all elections, and we will make that discussion a higher priority for next year.

6.2 Academic Policy and Planning (Finnegan)

Information

- Approved proposal to add new concentrations to Joint Doctorate of Philosophy Degrees between UC San Diego and SDSU in Engineering Sciences: Bioengineering; Electrical and Computer Engineering; and Structural Engineering.
- Approved motion to elect Dan Finnegan to serve as AP&P Chair for 2007-2008 Academic Year.

Discussion:

Senator Preciado: Can you discuss your actions regarding the proposal for a Computer Sciences Degree at IVC? **Senator Finnegan:** We tabled the proposal. We had some concerns about its viability and asked that IVC representatives come back in the fall with more information about enrollment. **Senator Preciado:** I know far too many committees meet at the same time your committee meets, so you weren't aware that the AR&P endorsed the proposal. What elements will be necessary for them to meet viability, given that there is a dearth of opportunity in the IVC for programs and the funding can come from monies available to IVC as a Hispanic-serving campus. I hope that you will

approve this proposal, because it represents a substantial addition to the academic program in an area that is underserved by higher education.

6.3 Academic Resources and Planning (Lamke)

Information

- AR&P approved Ph.D. Concentrations in Engineering (07-32) with five GA positions at \$45,900 on a permanent basis as indicated in year one of the proposal.
- AR&P approved the IVC Proposal for Computer Science Degree (07-33) pending a review by Academic Affairs which entails demonstration of sufficient student demand after two years.
- AR&P began its review of division proposals for the 2007-2008 academic year with information from Academic Affairs and Business and Financial Affairs at its meeting on Tuesday, April 17. At its meeting on May 1, AR&P will review proposals from Student Affairs, University Advancement, and the President's Office

Discussion:

CFA President Wheeler: I would like to thank Chair Lamke's service to AR&P and the university. Second, can you explain the committee's position on the IVC program? **ARP Chair Lamke:** We were concerned about the viability of the program, but we voted to put it into effect with a two-year review about viability. **Senator Barbone:** How much are we allocating to athletics next year? **ARP Chair Lamke:** About 2.8 million. **Senator Barbone:** Any chance of moving those funds to academics? **ARP Chair Lamke:** Not unless you can convince quite a few people on this campus to abandon Division I Athletics. **Senator Park:** How many students would the IVC Computer Science program need to be considered viable? **ARP Chair Lamke:** 15-20.

6.4 Curriculum (Baber)

Action

MP The Senate approved the new degree in American Indian Studies.

6.5 Committees and Elections (Turhollow)

Actions

MP Elections to Senate. The following Senate Officers were elected: Chair: Edith Benkov (CAL); Vice Chair (by acclamation): Cezar Ornatowski (A&L) Secretary (by acclamation): William Eadie (PSFA) The following SEC Members-At-Large (three faculty senators, with no more than one from a college or equivalent academic unit) were elected May-Newman (ENG), Valerie Renegar (PSFA), Mark Wheeler (CAL). Elected as Senate Representative on the Foundation Board for the term 2007-2011 Brian Finch (CAL); Elected as Senate Representatives on the Intercollegiate Athletic Authority for the term 2007-2010: Ron King (CAL), Steve Barbone (CAL).

MP The following members were chosen for the Committee on Committees and Elections: Margaret Field, Rebecca Moore (CAL); Lynn Shore (BUS); Brent Taylor (EDU); Andy Szeto (ENG); Wila Fields (HHS); Richard Neumann (IVC); Anne Turhollow (LIB); Joe Alter, Loren Schreiber (PSFA); Cathie Atkins, Tod Reeder (SCI)

MP The Senate approved the following appointments to committees:

Academic Policy and Planning

Fatih Buyuksonmez, Associate Professor, Civil and Environmental Engineering (term ends May 2009, ENG seat)
Dan Finnegan, Professor, Social Work (reappointment, term ends May 2010, HHS seat)

Academic Resources and Planning

Olita Harris, Associate Professor, Social Work/Associate Dean of HHS (reappointment, term ends May 2010, HHS seat); Kathy LaMaster, Professor, Exercise and Nutritional Sciences (term ends May 2010, PSFA seat)

Bookstore Advisory

Kerry Nelson, Professor, Art, Design, and Art History (reappointment, term ends 2010)
Mike Perkins, Librarian / Head, Collection Development (reappointment, term ends 2010)

Campus Development

Ed Aguado, Professor, Geography (reappointment, term ends May 2010)

Communications Authority

Barbara Mueller, Professor, Journalism and Media Studies (term ends May 2010, JOURN seat)

Diversity, Equity, and Outreach

Eric Boime, Assistant Professor, History (reappointment, term ends May 2010, IVC seat)
Theresa Garcia, Director, MESA Program (reappointment, term ends May 2010, ENG seat)
Sally Maathiesen, Associate Professor, Social Work (reappointment, term ends May 2010, HHS seat)
Gangaram Singh, Professor, Management (term ends May 2009, replacement to BUS seat)

Faculty Affairs

Tom Packard, Associate Professor, Social Work (reappointment, term ends May 2010)
Brent Taylor, Associate Professor, Counseling (reappointment, term ends May 2010)

General Education

Darrel Moellendorf, Associate Professor, Philosophy (term ends May 2010, CAL seat)

Graduate Council

Patricia Geist-Martin, Professor, Communication (reappointment, term ends May 2010, PSFA seat)

Liberal Studies

Ricardo Nemirovsky, Professor, Mathematics (reappointment, term ends May 2010)

Instructional and Information Technology

Ellie Dworak, Electronic Services Librarian (reappointment, term ends May 2010, LIB seat)

SDSU Press Editorial Board

Stuart Aitken, Stuart, Professor, Geography (reappointment, term ends May 2009)
Emily Hicks, Professor, English and Comparative Literature / Chicana & Chicano Studies (reappointment, term ends May 2010)
Larry McCaffery, Larry, Professor, English and Comparative Literature (reappointment, term ends May 2009)
Bill Nerricio, Professor, English and Comparative Literature (reappointment, term ends May 2010)

Student Affairs

Karen Calfas, Director of Health Promotion, Student Health Services (reappointment, term ends May 2010)
Robert Dowell, Assistant Professor, Civil and Environmental Engineering (term ends May 2009)
Mahasweta Sarkar, Assistant Professor, Computer and Electrical Engineering (term ends May 2010)

Student Grievance

Asfaw Beyene, Assistant Professor, Mechanical Engineering (term ends May 2010)

Student Learning Outcomes

Olga Amaral, Associate Professor, Teacher Education (reappointment, term ends May 2009, IVC seat)
Karen Coleman, Assistant Professor, Public Health (reappointment, term ends May 2010, HHS seat)
Dan Kitchen, Associate Director, SDSU/CGU Doctoral Program (reappointment, term ends May 2010, EDU seat)
Susan Levy, Associate Professor, Exercise and Nutritional Sciences (reappointment, term ends May 2010, PSFA seat)

Undergraduate Council

Jessica Barlow, Professor, Speech, Language, and Hearing Sciences (reappointment, term ends May 2010, HHS seat)
Tom Impelluso, Associate Professor, Mechanical Engineering (term ends May 2009, ENG seat)

6.6 Constitution & Bylaws (Park)

Action

MP The Senate approved the following change to the Policy File with final amendments noted:

Naming

- 1.0 The Trustees of the California State University have ~~developed~~ CSU policies on naming facilities (“Policy And Procedure On Naming California State University Facilities and Properties”), as well as colleges, schools, and other academic entities (“Policy And Procedures On Naming California State University Colleges, Schools, and Other Academic Entities”). In these policies, the Trustees ~~have retained~~ for themselves the authority to name all CSU facilities and properties, i.e., all buildings; major portions of buildings; university or college streets or roads; stadium and baseball fields and other areas of major assembly or activity; plazas, malls, and other large areas of campus circulation; as well as naming all CSU colleges, schools, programs, centers, and institutes. The Trustees’ policy has ~~states~~ that the naming of academic entities, as opposed to facilities, is to be reserved for fund-raising purposes only. The policies referenced above ~~have outlined~~ specific procedures to be followed in proposing names to the Trustees.

These policies, along with Executive Order 713, “Delegation of Authority - Naming of CSU Facilities and Properties,” (<http://www.calstate.edu/eo/EO-713.pdf>), ~~have delegated~~ to the President of each university in the California State University System the naming of those facilities and properties, academic entities, including endowed chairs, and scholarships for which the Trustees have not reserved the naming rights. The intent of the following policy is to guide the process of naming entities for which the President of San Diego State University has been delegated ultimate responsibility.

2.0 Principles.

- 2.1 Naming proposals may originate from administrators, faculty, staff, or students. Each proposal for naming shall be considered on its own merits. No commitment for naming shall be made before final approval of the proposed name. Confidentiality shall be maintained throughout the process of submitting a naming proposal.
- 2.2 Individuals originating proposals where the naming is associated with a philanthropic gift should seek guidance on procedures from the Office of the Vice President for University Relations and Development. When such proposals include the naming of facilities and properties, the Director of Facilities Planning, Design, and Construction should be consulted **to ascertain the projected cost of the facility and to ensure that proposed design changes, including signage or other modifications, are appropriate.** Non-philanthropic proposals that involve naming facilities and properties should proceed through the Campus Development Committee.
- 2.3 A name of an SDSU facility, academic entity, or project shall meet one or more of the following criteria:
- 2.31 It designates the function of a facility or entity.
- 2.32 It reflects geographic or topographical features of the facility or entity.
- 2.33 It reflects a traditional theme of the university or college.
- 2.34 It honors one or more individuals or an organization for one of more of the following reasons:
- (a) Unique distinction in higher education or public service,
 - (b) Academic service to the university and a national or international reputation as a scholar or extraordinary contributions to SDSU or the CSU,
 - (c) Administrative service to the university marked by extraordinary contributions to SDSU or the CSU,
 - (d) Staff service to the university marked by extraordinary contributions to SDSU or the CSU, or
 - (e) A monetary gift or gift-in-kind to the university or an income generating gift, including a gift made by another in the named individual’s behalf.
- 2.4 Substantial contributions for naming purposes shall be encouraged from both private and corporate donors. In general, the amount of the contribution should equal or exceed the “best practice” for the sort of naming envisioned, as determined by data from the Council for Advancement and Support of Education.

3.0 The Role of Consultation in the Naming Process.

- 3.1 Appropriate faculty members should be consulted whenever the naming of a facility or an entity directly affects them.

3.2 Appropriate students should be consulted whenever the naming of a facility or an entity directly affects them. The Associated Students shall determine the procedures by which students should be consulted in the naming process.

4.0 The Senate Executive Committee (SEC) shall act on behalf of the Senate in considering naming proposals. The committee may receive such proposals at its regular meetings, or special meetings of the SEC may be called for the purpose of acting on naming proposals. The SEC shall meet in executive session to consider these proposals and shall maintain confidentiality regarding its deliberations. In reviewing naming proposals, the SEC shall consider:

- (a) The fit between the proposal and the criteria for naming outlined in Section 2.0 above.
- (b) The appropriateness of the monetary gift involved, if any, for the significance of the entity to be named.
- (c) The vetting process that was employed to insure the integrity of the gift, as well as the integrity of the donor.
- (d) The consultation process employed to insure that the proposal has the support of affected faculty and/or students.

4.1 The SEC's recommendation shall be transmitted confidentially to the President.

Rationale:

The existing policy guides only the naming of facilities. With the anticipated advent of a Comprehensive Campaign, we anticipate that entities other than facilities will be available for naming. The revised policy puts general procedures in place for constructing naming proposals and charges the Senate Executive Committee with reviewing those proposals on behalf of the faculty and making a confidential recommendation on each one to the President.

The Existing Policy: Naming of Facilities and Properties was included in the Senate Agenda on page 10-12. The proposed policy replaced entire policy

Discussion:

MA

Ornatowski, Eadie. Amendment to section 2.2 as follows, "Individuals originating proposals where the naming is associated with a philanthropic gift should seek guidance on procedures from the Office of the Vice President for University Relations and Development. ~~When such proposals include the naming of facilities and properties, the Director of Facilities Planning, Design, and Construction should be consulted. The Vice President for University Relations and Development should consult with the Director of Facilities Planning, Design, and Construction on naming proposals which affect buildings, structures, and other facilities, to ascertain that the philanthropic gift aligns with the actual cost of the facility, and to insure that the appropriate steps are taken to recognize the gift, whether they be design changes, signage, or other facility modifications.~~ Non-philanthropic proposals that involve naming facilities and properties should proceed through the Campus Development Committee.

Senator Ornatowski: This sentence was added at the last minute after consultation with University Architect Fulton. This was suggested because the Campus Development Committee wants to make sure we maintain consistency in signage to prevent gaudiness. Director Fulton also keeps a log of all naming so there is no confusion and wishes to be consulted informationally.

Senator Park: The Director also wanted to make sure that the amount of the gift should represent the value of the design entity, and that it should cover all the changes in signage. **Provost Marlin:** Regarding the cost of the facility, we have guidelines now that specify that the amount of the donation should be equal to or greater than 25 percent of the facility replacement cost. Is this different? **Chair Hornbeck:** The word align doesn't mean that the gift and the cost should be the same, but it should align with policy. **Provost Marlin:** Perhaps you should say that.

Senator Donadey: Perhaps we should put back the old policy file language on this particular issue that mentions the 25%. **Secretary Eadie:** This is covered in section 2.4 of the proposed policy. We dropped the 25% figure and included instead something like the Chancellor's Office policy, that the amount should "equal or exceed the 'best practice' for the sort of naming envisioned, as determined by data from the Council for Advancement and Support of Education." They are actually recommending a 30% standard. We thought it imprudent to cut ourselves short.

Chair Hornbeck: Is this acceptable as a friendly amendment to the committee chair? **Senator Park:** Yes.

Chair Hornbeck: Are there objections? **Senator Scott:** Now that we know about section 2.4, I don't know why we still consult with Director Fulton. [Friendly amendment is not accepted.]

MSF Little, Aguado. Table this motion to clarify language.

Secretary Eadie: We can do that, but we were really trying to get it into place before the Comprehensive Campaign, otherwise we have to wait until fall. We don't necessarily have to send it back for one sentence.

Vice Chair Ornatowski: I am against the motion. Part of the reason we have this problem is we have been consulting up until the last minute. As the campaign is underway, proposals for naming keep coming to us all the time. We need a policy that directs conversations with the appropriate people, rather than have CDC simply consider them and forward them to SEC, who then has an endless discussion and has to make adjudication. We should not be in that situation for three or four months. I beg the Senate's indulgence to let the officers rework this one sentence.

Provost Marlin: I am also against tabling. This was referred to the committee over a year ago.

[Motion to table fails.]

Senator Aguado: Section 1.0 is interesting information you would give this body, but its language doesn't seem to fit with our Policy File ("The Trustees of the CSU have developed CSU Policies..."). **Secretary Eadie:** Right now, there is a complicated maze of policies regarding naming. Many things are held by the Trustees, and they have policies. Some of our local policy is taken directly from these. The committee felt, in terms of rewriting this, it was important for people to be referred to the appropriate Trustee policy because we weren't simply folding all their policies in this document. We want it to be a useful reference for people bringing in a naming issue. It is no longer the case that it only comes from the Campus Development Committee and the SEC, but anyone can bring in a proposal under this policy. The idea was to let people know where to look, how to word their proposal, and what criteria to use. **Senator Park:** We found it necessary to make a distinction between those policies already stated by the CSU that name facilities and major things, and other naming issues, such as scholarships, endowed chairs, and rooms.

FAA Senator Aguado: I suggest we change the language to present tense. [Amendment accepted.]

BILL – SEE MY CHANGES UP ABOVE IN RED. DELETE IF YOU CHANGED YOUR MIND.

Senator Nichols: Will there be some policy about what needs to go to SEC to be approved? **Senator Eadie:** This policy covers all naming opportunities. Over time, we'll develop fairly quick procedures for judging these naming items. We deliberately took out the title, "Naming of Facilities."

Senator Moellendorf: Section 1 draws a distinction between entities and facilities. Section 2 is titled, "Principles" but mentions facilities many times. I'm confused about the coherence or what distinction is being made in the first section that is being applied in the second. It is not clear what is ruled out. This is for local guidance? **Secretary Eadie:** Yes. This has changed quite a bit. You may be spotting things in here that are not principles. In the original draft we attempted to write something that would cover everything. There policies from the Trustees that have to go through CDC and the SEC. We are trying not to quote the Trustee policy but we needed some campus guidance that told people where to go. This has been modified over time to satisfy different constituencies. **Senator Park:** For example, if the Dean of the College of Sciences was approached by the Alumni to name a laboratory, that would be a major event to get the CDC involved. If it is a room, it may not involve the CDC, however similar the process of the origination of the naming opportunity may be.

Senator Moellendorf: This document doesn't provide us with guidance about how to avoid violating the Trustee policy. **Chair Hornbeck:** We had a policy for a long time covering naming of facilities. That was derived almost exclusively from Trustee policy. They eventually delegated authority for naming lesser facilities, buildings, and structures, to the President, who seeks guidance from the Campus Architect, CDC and the SEC. The process was always the same. In recent years, we have named other things, endowed chairs, graduate fellowships, things that are not facilities. We will have a lot of naming opportunities with this Capital Campaign and we have nothing addressing this in terms of Shared Governance in the Policy File. The old procedure with CDC was cumbersome and time consuming. The Goal was to allow University Relations & Development have the appropriate vetting, with the proviso that the faculty would be consulted where appropriate. Another item is philanthropic distinctions where the contact with the donor is necessarily the responsibility of URD, then directly to SEC. This amendment assures that Facilities, Planning, Design, and Construction be consulted if it coming through the philanthropic route.

Dean Chase: It is a good idea to reach closure today, even if this is not perfect. We have much of the agenda left and we can revisit it in the future.

Senator Donadey: Maybe we're not ready to vote on this. The more I hear discussion, the more worried I'm getting. Now everything is coming to SEC and it will not have come to committee before hand. **Secretary Eadie:** Anyone can propose naming, but there are guidelines. These are not things for SEC to do but the proposer. **Senator Donadey:** DOHow will SEC know that the proposer has done their homework and it is indeed true that that entity has a name or was promised a name? **Secretary Eadie:** We get these proposals now. SEC already makes judgments on these. **Senator Donadey:** From CDC? **Secretary Eadie:** Not always.

P Motion Passes with agreement that the Officers rewrite the amendment. That is listed as follows:

Section 2.2 amended to read, "Individuals originating proposals where the naming is associated with a philanthropic gift should seek guidance on procedures from the Office of the Vice President for University Relations and Development. When such proposals include the naming of facilities and properties, the Director of Facilities Planning, Design, and Construction should be consulted to ascertain the projected cost of the facility and to ensure that proposed design changes, including signage or other modifications, are appropriate. Non-philanthropic proposals that involve naming facilities and properties should proceed through the Campus Development Committee.

6.7 Diversity, Equity and Outreach (Donadey)

Information

- The DEO has developed recommendations to increase graduate student diversity. We will share these with the Dean of the Graduate Division and the Senate.
- The Deo is drafting language on skills required of faculty for diversity and equity ("What all faculty need to know about teaching diverse students and diverse topics") for an online resource for the Center for Teaching and Learning
- Senator Donadey was re-elected chair of the DEO for 200-2008. However, since she will be on leave in the fall, there will be an acting chair, Karen Cadiero-Kaplan.

6.8 Faculty Affairs (Benkov)

Actions

MP The Senate approved the following change to the University Policy File:

Reappointment, Tenure and Promotion of Student Affairs Faculty: Criteria

- 1.0 The primary mission of Counseling and Psychological Services (CPS) is to provide services to students and the university community that support and enhance the education of the whole person and nurture a healthy and productive learner-centered environment. Student Health Services (SHS) is an on-campus ambulatory medical and health promotion facility designed to provide the students with medical and preventive health care supportive of the educational mission of San Diego State University.
- 2.0 The SDSU learning community is extremely diverse. In order to work effectively on campus, CPS and SHS faculty must have demonstrable respect for diversity and an ability to work effectively from a cross cultural perspective with people from a wide range of backgrounds and value systems. Probationary and tenured counseling faculty shall be evaluated in a) counseling effectiveness (CPS) or programming effectiveness (SHS) b) professional growth, and c) service to the university and community.
 - 2.1 The primary qualification for reappointment, tenure, or promotion is excellence in counseling/programming. Evidence of counseling effectiveness (CPS) or programming effectiveness (SHS) may include knowledge and skill with a broad range of psychotherapeutic theories and interventions; integration of professional growth into the psychological or other services provided to students and the university community; knowledge and competence to work effectively with students from multicultural backgrounds; the ability to make discerning judgments regarding the full range of complex legal and ethical issues that relate to counseling/clinical work

Counseling effectiveness (CPS) or programming effectiveness (SHS) may be evaluated by various methods such as peer reviews, case presentations, case consultations, chart review, student evaluations of counseling, intern evaluations of supervision and training, program evaluation, and publications or presentations.

- 2.2 Professional Growth: Evidence of growth in counseling skills, professional research, and scholarship that complements and strengthens one's ability to provide competent professional service is essential to the effectiveness of all Student Affairs Faculty members, to their own professional stature, and the stature of the University.

The forms of scholarship expected from Student Affairs Faculty could best be described as the scholarship of integration and the scholarship of application. More specifically, this is assimilating the knowledge acquired by study of a particular topic and translating said knowledge base to applied clinical or program settings. The scholarship of discovery in the form of refereed articles would not be expected to predominate amongst criteria to demonstrate Student Affairs Faculty professional growth.

Evidence of professional growth includes activities which substantially improve or expand counselors knowledge and counseling skills in providing psychological services to students and the university community and may include: attendance and/or scholarly presentations at professional training institutes and workshops; developing curriculum for trainees, interns and/or peer educators; presentation of professional projects; publications of merit; awards and honors; grants and contracts; participation in workshops and panels; and active participation in professional organizations. It is expected that Student Affairs Faculty demonstrate that they have knowledge of current treatments and techniques.

- 2.3 Service to the University and Community: Every counseling faculty member shall assume responsibility for participating in activities that apply their expertise for the benefit of the University and community. Examples of valued service would include: efforts to improve student outreach and retention; service on department and University committees; holding offices in University-associated or relevant community organizations; offering counseling related lectures and seminars for community groups; consulting with community groups; and volunteering in community organizations.

When a candidate distinguishes himself or herself in performing such duties to the significant benefit of the University, and this performance is appropriately documented over a significant length of time, then service to the University shall have more than the usual bearing on reappointment, tenure, and promotion decisions. However the primary criterion is effectiveness of counseling/programming with professional growth an important consideration.

- 3.0 There shall be three tenure track counselor ranks, SSPAR-I, SSPAR-II, and SSPAR-III. Standards for promotion shall be higher at each higher rank. Counseling faculty being considered for promotion shall not serve on promotion or tenure committees. For promotion considerations, committee members shall have a higher rank or classification than those being considered.
- 4.0 Candidates will submit a Personnel Data Summary (PDS) with no more than five examples of important achievements in each of these three areas (counseling or programming effectiveness, professional growth, and service to the university and community). Candidates will also submit a current curriculum vitae.
- 5.0 The entire professional record of the candidate shall be considered.
- 6.0 The counseling faculty shall adhere as closely as possible to the format for reappointment, tenure, and promotion materials that shall be distributed before the beginning of the academic year by the Associate Vice President for Faculty Affairs or to the Committee on Faculty Affairs.
- 7.0 The supporting documentation considered by the Counseling Faculty peer review committee shall include (a) available student evaluations, if applicable (b) one copy of all tangible evidence of professional growth specified in the PDS, and (c) previous letters of review by committees and administrators.
- 8.0 At the conclusion of the reappointment, tenure, and promotion process, the supporting materials shall be returned to the candidate, except for previously documented items returned to the candidate's official personnel file. A copy of the PDS shall be placed in the official personnel file.

Rationale:

Although Student Affairs Faculty are Unit 3 faculty, there is no section in the policy file dealing with their RTP processes. Current policy file has a separate section for RTP for librarians. This addition would clarify the requirements for Student Affairs Faculty in a manner similar to the section on librarians.

MP The Senate approved the following change to the University Policy File: Change in Title**Reappointment, Tenure, and Promotion: Criteria**
(Excluding Library Faculty and Student Affairs Faculty)Rationale:

Needed for new section in Policy File: Student Affairs Faculty

7.0 Senate-Appointed University Committee Reports**7.1 Faculty Honors & Awards (Little)**Actions**MP The Senate approved the following change to the Policy File:**

University Policy File:

Awards

1.0 San Diego State University Senate Excellence in Teaching Award

1.1 This award, originally from the Board of Trustees of The California State University and Colleges, shall recognize excellence in teaching. Each fall one distinguished faculty member of the university shall be honored. Faculty members shall be selected for the contributions made to their students, to their academic disciplines, and to their campus communities.

1.2 Criteria for Nomination

1.21 A nominee shall have a record of superlative teaching. The documentation submitted in support of a nomination shall contain a vita and written student and faculty evaluations that evidence excellence in teaching. Neither professional achievement through research or creative scholarship nor service to the campus and community shall substitute for this basic requirement. A significant part of each nominee's accomplishment shall have been established while a faculty member of The California State University.

1.22 Each nomination may also be supported by evidence that includes any or all of the following:

- o Detailed lists of the nominee's achievements as a teacher, as a member of the profession, and as a member of the campus community.
- o ~~Evidence may also include~~ Descriptive and evaluative written statements by present and former students, by colleagues in the nominee's academic discipline, by campus administrators, and by others who are qualified to comment upon the nominee's teaching and related contributions; ~~evaluators shall describe the evidence used as a basis for judgment.~~ Such written statements should include a brief paragraph identifying the writer.

MP The Senate approved emeritus status upon retirement for the following faculty:

Breana E. Coates, Associate Professor of Public Administration and Urban Studies, May 23, 2007, 7 years
Jane E. Hindman, Associate Professor of Rhetoric and Writing Studies, June 25, 2007, 11 years

Information

Following is the list of Emeritus Faculty for 2006-2007:

<u>Last Name</u>	<u>First Name</u>	<u>Rank</u>	<u>Department</u>	<u>Years</u>	<u>Effective Date</u>
Adler	Renate K.	Professor	Economics	25	6/30/07
Baker	Richard	Professor	Art, Design and Art History	29	8/1/07
Beatty	James R.	Professor	Information and Decision Systems	34	8/8/07
Capettini	Robert	Professor	Accountancy	22	7/1/07
		Associate			
Coates	Breena E.	Professor	Public Admin. and Urban Studies	7	5/23/07
Flatley	Marie E.	Professor	Information and Decision Systems	28	5/23/07
Flood	James	Professor	Teacher Education	25	8/20/07
Gay	Phillip T.	Professor	Sociology	31	5/23/07
Griswold del Castillo	Richard	Professor	Chicana and Chicano Studies	33	5/23/07
		Associate			
Hindman	Jane E.	Professor	Rhetoric and Writing Studies	11	6/25/07
Lewis	Rena B.	Professor	Special Education	28	12/12/06
Oseroff	Saul B.	Professor	Physics	23	6/30/07
		Associate			
O'Shaughnessy	Tam E.	Professor	Counseling & School Psychology	5	3/1/07
Peterson	Gary L.	Professor	Geological Sciences	44	5/23/07
Reid	W. Nick	Professor	Theatre, Television and Film	24	8/21/07
Rossett	Allison	Professor	Educational Technology	30	5/31/07
Ryan	Richard W.	Professor	Public Admin. and Urban Studies	25	8/21/07
Sciglimpaglia	Don	Professor	Marketing	30	5/23/07
Treadway	Jerry	Professor	Teacher Education	36	12/30/06
Wilson	Patricia A.	Professor	Public Admin. and Urban Studies	17	5/23/07

7.2 General Education (Scott)

No report.

7.3 Graduate Council (Kramer)**Information**

- E.T.S has decided not to implement its new GRE test and test procedures in fall 2007. This was due, primarily, to the issue of adequate access to test sites. No information was given regarding any plans for future implementation.
- The Office of Graduate and Research Affairs is in the process of establishing a centralized data-base (compendium) of faculty and student publications or other recognized activities of professional growth. We are exploring the use of Endnote Web and expect to Beta-test this with selected faculty.
- The Curriculum Committee presented Information Report II-04/07.
- The Curriculum Committee presented the Action Report 1A-04/07: The Council approved the proposals for new Engineering Sciences JDP (with UCSD) concentrations in Bioengineering, Electrical and Computer Engineering, and Structural Engineering.
- The Student Affairs Committee report was provided by Crystal Schloemer of the Graduate Student Board, who reported that Bylaws for the GSB are to be presented to the A.S. The GSB now has a seat on the Student Council. Its budget has also been increased from \$2000 to \$3800 to be used to support referendums, and promote and market GSB issues.

- The Council approved a proposal by Administration, Rehabilitation, and Post-secondary Education for an annual (3 yrs) exemption to 10% special admits limit.
- The Council approved a revision to the Policy for Service as Doctoral Faculty and Chairing Doctoral Committees. See attached (Replaces February 8, 2007 document).
- The Council approved a proposal from School of Business (presented by Dr. Kamal Haddad) to substitute an English language evaluation (written and oral) for the TOEFL requirement for his program in Shenyang, China and in Taipei, Taiwan. This would be in effect for two years at which time they will assess any effects of this change.

7.4 Instructional and Information Technology (Stewart)

Action

MP The Senate approved the following change to the Policy File

Instructional and Information Technology Committee

1.0 Membership (**15 16**): nine faculty, one from each college, the Imperial Valley Campus and the Library; one staff member; one student; Director of Instructional Technology Services or Designee; **Chief Information Officer or designee**; Academic Affairs Information Technology Coordinator or Designee; Information Technology Security Office Designee appointed by the Associate Vice President for Financial Operations; representative selected by and from the Information Technology Managers Group.

Rationale:

Rich Pickett, Chief Information Officer joined SDSU in December 2006 as the campus' first Chief Information Officer (CIO) and Senior Director of Information Technology.

He played a critical role in the "Valentines Day Firewall Rule enhancement", which the IIT Committee discussed at great length through Fall 06 / Spr07. Rich attended most of the IIT Committee meetings after joining our campus and has contributed to the IIT Committee activities. Our most recent activity on the mandate from the Chancellor's Office on Accessibility for Learning Materials was presented by Rich Pickett and Bonnie Zimmerman to the SEC in February 2007 and Rich has been working with our committee to devise a campus plan to ease implementation. The Committee voted at their last meeting (11apr07) to request that Rich Pickett be formally added to the committee to acknowledge his contribution and expertise.

7.5 Research Council (Scott)

Action

MP The Senate approve the following changes to the University Policy File: Integrity in Research and Scholarship (presented in Agenda pages 21-24):

Rationale:

The changes suggested here meet the minimum requirements in 42 CFR 93. These changes to the policy include more detail in timeframes for reporting; structure of, and details to be included in, reports to ORI; protection and maintenance of records; and general language to indicate a commitment to objectivity, fairness and confidentiality. Certain passages of the current policy which have been deleted from their current sections are addressed in the new additions (although perhaps in a new section).

7.6 Staff Affairs (Nichols)

Information

Sue Nichols has termed out as a Senator, but will still serve as co-chair of the Staff Affairs Committee along with Senator Mark Flahan. Senator Flahan will sit on SEC. [The body applauded Senator Nichols for her service. She will receive the infamous coffee mug.]

One Staff Affairs Goal is to discuss capturing our institutional history in the face of so many retirements.

7.5 Undergraduate Council (Chase)

Information:

Summer Reading Program. "Mountains Beyond Mountains" by Tracy Kidder has been selected and the author will be on campus in October. We have also identified the theme, "Mapping the World, Sharing the Future" and linked up with KPBS's "One Book, One San Diego" program.

Thanks to Glen McClish, Graduation Writing Assessment Requirement (GWAR) Coordinator, SDSU's first.

Thanks to all those who contributed to the Graduation Retention Report.

8. Other Committees

9. Old Business

None.

10. New Business

Thanks from Chair Hornbeck. Outgoing Chair Hornbeck thanked all the Committee Chairs, Academic Senators, Senate Officers, Ornatowski and Eadie, Administrative Coordinator Jones, and the Associated Students Vice Chair Ly. **Chair Hornbeck:** I can't tell you how grateful I am to have had Vice Chair Ornatowski and Secretary Eadie work with me this year and I am happy to see them continue. My wife and I frequently talk about the wonderful lives we have had at home, our kids our healthy adults, my long-time chair of the Psychology Department, and how much I enjoyed serving this body for the past three years. I look forward to continuing work with the Academic Senate and the new officers.

11. Minutes, Reports and Agendas. Annual Reports were received from the following committees: Bookstore Advisory Committee; Diversity, Equity & Outreach; Environment & Safety; Faculty Affairs; General Education; Library; Staff Affairs; and Undergraduate Council. Additional reports will be included in the Fall, 2007 Agenda. All items can be found on the Senate website: <http://senate.sdsu.edu>

12. Adjournment

The Senate adjourned at 4:42pm.

Respectfully submitted:

William Eadie

Jackie Jones

Secretary of the Senate

Administrative Coordinator