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## Definite Integral

## Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Calculus)

Let $f(x)$ be a continuous function on the interval $[a, b]$ and assume that $F(x)$ is any antiderivative of $f(x)$. The definite integral, which gives the area under the curve of $f(x)$ between $a$ and $b$, satisfies the following formula:

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=F(b)-F(a)
$$

- Finding integrals was a significant part of Calculus
- Developed many techniques for solving a variety of integrals
- Many integrals are impossible to solve with classic techniques
- We need numerical methods to evaluate these definite integrals


## Definition of Riemann Integral

Definition of Riemann Integral: The standard integral from Calculus is the Riemann Integral

- Let $f(x)$ be a continuous function in the interval $[a, b]$
- Partition the interval $[a, b]$ into $n$ subintervals $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ with $\Delta x_{i}=x_{i}-x_{i-1}$ and $\Delta x_{k}$ being the largest
- Let $c_{i}$ be some point in the subinterval $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$
- The $n^{t h}$ Riemann sum is given by

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(c_{i}\right) \Delta x_{i}
$$

- The Riemann integral is defined by

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\lim _{\Delta x_{k} \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(c_{i}\right) \Delta x_{i}
$$

## Midpoint Rule

The Midpoint Rule is based directly on the definition of the Riemann integral.

Suppose that we want to approximate the area under some continuous function $f(x)$ between $x=a$ and $x=b$

- Divide the interval $[a, b]$ into a number of small intervals
- Assume there are $n$ evenly spaced intervals (which Riemann sums do not require this restriction)
- Evaluate the function, $f(x)$, at the midpoint of any subinterval
- Technically, it is important in the definition of the Riemann integral that one chooses arbitrarily any point in the interval, but that is left to other analysis courses


## Midpoint Rule

The Midpoint Rule is given by the following:

- Let $x_{0}=a$ and $x_{n}=b$ and define $\Delta x=\frac{b-a}{n}$ with $x_{i}=a+i \Delta x$ for $i=0, \ldots, n$
- This partitions the interval $[a, b]$ into $n$ subintervals $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ each with length $\Delta x$
- The height of the approximating rectangle is found by evaluating the function at the midpoint, $c_{i}=\frac{x_{i}+x_{i-1}}{2}$
- The area of the rectangle, $R_{i}$, over the interval $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ is given by its height times its width or

$$
R_{i}=f\left(c_{i}\right) \Delta x
$$

- The area under $f(x)$ is approximated by adding the areas of the rectangles

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(c_{i}\right) \Delta x \approx \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

Riemann Integral

## Midpoint Rule

Figures below show a single rectangle in computing area of the Riemann Integral and all of the rectangles using the Midpoint Rule for approximating the area under the curve


Riemann Integral

## Midpoint Rule

Riemann Sums and Riemann Integral

- The Midpoint Rule described above is a specialized form of Riemann sums
- The more general form of Riemann sums allows the subintervals to have varying lengths, $\Delta x_{i}$
- The choice of where the function is evaluated need not be at the midpoint as described above
- The Riemann integral is defined using a limiting process, similar to the one described above


## Area under a Curve

Area under a Curve: Consider the function

$$
f(x)=x^{3}-6 x^{2}+9 x+2 \quad \text { for } \quad x \in[0,5]
$$

From the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus the area under the curve is

$$
A_{*}=\int_{0}^{5} f(x) d x=\frac{x^{4}}{4}-2 x^{3}+\frac{9 x^{2}}{2}+\left.2 x\right|_{0} ^{5}=28.75
$$

- Approximate area with rectangles under the curve
- Divide the interval $x \in[0,5]$ into even intervals
- Use the midpoint of the interval to get height of the rectangle
- Examine approximation as intervals get smaller

Riemann Integral

## Area under a Curve

Area under a Curve Divide $x \in[0,5]$ into 5 intervals


## Area under a Curve

Area under a Curve: Height of rectangles from the function

$$
f(x)=x^{3}-6 x^{2}+9 x+2 \quad \text { for } \quad x \in[0,5]
$$

- Width of the rectangles are $\Delta x=1$
- Height of rectangles evaluated at midpoints
- Approximate area satisfies

$$
A_{1} \approx\left(f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{5}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{7}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)\right) \Delta x=\sum_{i=0}^{4} f\left(i+\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot 1
$$

- This gives

$$
A_{1} \approx \sum_{i=0}^{4}\left(\left(i+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3}-6\left(i+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+9\left(i+\frac{1}{2}\right)+2\right)=28.125
$$

- This is $2.17 \%$ less than the actual area

Riemann Integral

## Area under a Curve

Area under a Curve Divide $x \in[0,5]$ into 10 intervals


## Area under a Curve

Area under a Curve: Height of rectangles from the function

$$
f(x)=x^{3}-6 x^{2}+9 x+2 \quad \text { for } \quad x \in[0,5]
$$

- Width of the rectangles are $\Delta x=\frac{1}{2}$
- Height of rectangles evaluated at midpoints
- Approximate area satisfies

$$
A_{2} \approx \sum_{i=0}^{9} f\left(\frac{i}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right) \Delta x
$$

- This gives

$$
A_{2} \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{9}\left(\left(\frac{i}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)^{3}-6\left(\frac{i}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2}+9\left(\frac{i}{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)+2\right)=28.59375
$$

- This is $0.543 \%$ less than the actual area

Riemann Integral
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## Area under a Curve

Area under a Curve Divide $x \in[0,5]$ into 20 intervals


## Area under a Curve

Area under a Curve: Height of rectangles from the function

$$
f(x)=x^{3}-6 x^{2}+9 x+2 \quad \text { for } \quad x \in[0,5]
$$

- Width of the rectangles are $\Delta x=\frac{1}{4}$
- Height of rectangles evaluated at midpoints
- Approximate area satisfies

$$
A_{3} \approx \sum_{i=0}^{19} f\left(\frac{i}{4}+\frac{1}{8}\right) \Delta x
$$

- This gives

$$
A_{3} \approx \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{19}\left(\left(\frac{i}{4}+\frac{1}{8}\right)^{3}-6\left(\frac{i}{4}+\frac{1}{8}\right)^{2}+9\left(\frac{i}{4}+\frac{1}{8}\right)+2\right)=28.7109
$$

- This is $0.135 \%$ less than the actual area

Riemann Integral Interpolation and Polynomial Approximation Numerical Integration (Quadrature)
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## Area under a Curve

Area under a Curve Divide $x \in[0,5]$ into 40 intervals


## Area under a Curve

Area under a Curve: Height of rectangles from the function

$$
f(x)=x^{3}-6 x^{2}+9 x+2 \quad \text { for } \quad x \in[0,5]
$$

- Width of the rectangles are $\Delta x=\frac{1}{8}$
- Height of rectangles evaluated at midpoints
- Approximate area satisfies

$$
A_{4} \approx \sum_{i=0}^{39} f\left(\frac{i}{8}+\frac{1}{16}\right) \Delta x
$$

- This gives

$$
A_{4} \approx \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=0}^{39}\left(\left(\frac{i}{8}+\frac{1}{16}\right)^{3}-6\left(\frac{i}{8}+\frac{1}{16}\right)^{2}+9\left(\frac{i}{8}+\frac{1}{16}\right)+2\right)=28.7402
$$

- This is $0.034 \%$ less than the actual area


## Area under a Curve

Area under a Curve: The actual area is,

$$
A_{*}=\int_{0}^{5} f(x) d x=28.75
$$

The approximate areas were

| $\Delta x_{1}=1$ | $\Delta x_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$ | $\Delta x_{3}=\frac{1}{4}$ | $\Delta x_{4}=\frac{1}{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{1}=28.125$ | $A_{2}=28.59375$ | $A_{3}=28.7109$ | $A_{4}=28.7402$ |

The error ratio for this example is

$$
\frac{\left|A_{n+1}-A_{*}\right|}{\left|A_{n}-A_{*}\right|} \approx 0.25
$$

Thus, as the stepsize decreases by $\frac{1}{2}$, the error in the approximate area decreases by a factor of $\frac{1}{4}$
We will demonstrate that the error of the Midpoint Rule is $\mathcal{O}\left((\Delta x)^{2}\right)$, depending on the stepsize

## Numerical Methods for Integration

Numerical Methods for Integration

- As noted before, many integrals cannot be solved exactly, so numerical methods need to be used to estimate definite integrals

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

- The Midpoint Rule is an approximation based on the definition of a Riemann integral
- The Midpoint Rule is NOT a very efficient way to estimate the area under the curve
- Once again we turn to polynomials to approximate our functions and improve the convergence of the numerical routine to the actual value of the definite integral


## Interpolation and Polynomial Approximation

## Interpolation and Polynomial Approximation

- Polynomials provide "nice" smooth functions for approximations
- Taylor's series give excellent estimates near a point
- For integration, we need to extend over an interval
- Interpolating polynomials have many applications to fit functions or data at various $x$ values


## Weierstrass Approximation Theorem

The following theorem is the basis for polynomial approximation:

## Theorem (Weierstrass Approximation Theorem)

Suppose $f \in C[a, b]$. Then for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists a polynomial $P(x):|f(x)-P(x)|<\epsilon$, for all $x \in[a, b]$.

Note: The bound is uniform, i.e., valid for all $x$ in the interval.
Note: The theorem says nothing about how to find the polynomial, or about its order.

## Illustrated: Weierstrass Approximation Theorem



Figure: Weierstrass approximation Theorem guarantees that we (maybe with substantial work) can find a polynomial which fits into the "tube" around the function $f$, no matter how thin we make the tube.

## Candidates: the Taylor Polynomials???

## Natural Question:

Are our old friends, the Taylor Polynomials, good candidates for polynomial interpolation?

## Answer:

No. The Taylor expansion works very hard to be accurate in the neighborhood of one point. But we want to fit data at many points (in an extended interval).
[Next slide: The approximation is great near the expansion point $x_{0}=0$, but get progressively worse at we get further away from the point, even for the higher degree approximations.]

## Taylor Approximation of $e^{x}$ on the Interval [0,3]

We learned that $e^{x}$ outgrows any polynomial


## Fundamentals

Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials MatLab and Lagrange Polynomials

## Interpolation: Lagrange Polynomials

Idea: Instead of working hard at one point, we will prescribe a number of points through which the polynomial must pass.

Consider a function that passes through the points $\left(x_{0}, f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$. From techniques of algebra, we have the slope

$$
m=\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{0}}
$$

so the point slope form of a line gives

$$
y(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\left(x-x_{0}\right) .
$$

This is rearranged to give

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(x) & =\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\left(x-x_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{0}\right) \\
y(x) & =f\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{0}}+f\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{x_{0}-x_{1}}+f\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)}{x_{0}-x_{1}} \\
y(x) & =f\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{0}}+f\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)}{x_{0}-x_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Interpolation: Lagrange Polynomials

From the previous slide we have:

$$
y(x)=f\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{0}}+f\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)}{x_{0}-x_{1}}
$$

If we define:

$$
L_{0}(x)=\frac{x-x_{1}}{x_{0}-x_{1}}, \quad L_{1}(x)=\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}
$$

then we obtain the interpolating polynomial

$$
P(x)=L_{0}(x) f\left(x_{0}\right)+L_{1}(x) f\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

with $P\left(x_{0}\right)=f\left(x_{0}\right)$, and $P\left(x_{1}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right)$.
$-P(x)$ is the unique linear polynomial passing through $\left(x_{0}, f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, f\left(x_{1}\right)\right)$.

## An $n$-degree polynomial passing through $n+1$ points

We are going to construct a polynomial passing through the points $\left(x_{0}, f\left(x_{0}\right)\right),\left(x_{1}, f\left(x_{1}\right)\right),\left(x_{2}, f\left(x_{2}\right)\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$.
We define $L_{n, k}(x)$, the Lagrange coefficients:

$$
\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})=\prod_{\mathrm{i}=0, \mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{k}}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}}{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}}=\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{k}-x_{0}} \cdots \frac{x-x_{k-1}}{x_{k}-x_{k-1}} \cdot \frac{x-x_{k+1}}{x_{k}-x_{k+1}} \cdots \frac{x-x_{n}}{x_{k}-x_{n}}
$$

which have the properties

$$
L_{n, k}\left(x_{k}\right)=1 ; \quad L_{n, k}\left(x_{i}\right)=0, \quad \text { for all } i \neq k .
$$

## Example of $L_{n, k}(x)$



This is $L_{6,3}(x)$, for the points $x_{i}=i, i=0, \ldots, 6$.

## The $n^{\text {th }}$ Lagrange Interpolating Polynomial

We use $L_{n, k}(x), k=0, \ldots, n$ as building blocks for the Lagrange interpolating polynomial:

$$
P(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(x_{k}\right) L_{n, k}(x)
$$

which has the property

$$
P\left(x_{i}\right)=f\left(x_{i}\right), \quad \text { for all } i=0, \ldots, n .
$$

This is the unique $n^{\text {th }}$ degree polynomial passing through the points
$\left(x_{i}, f\left(x_{i}\right)\right), i=0, \ldots, n$.

## Error bound for the Lagrange interpolating polynomial

Suppose $x_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n$ are distinct numbers in the interval $[a, b]$, and $f \in C^{n+1}[a, b]$. Then for all $x \in[a, b]$ there exists $\xi(x) \in(a, b)$ so that:

$$
f(x)=P_{\text {Lagrange }}(x)+\frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x))}{(n+1)!} \prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(x-x_{i}\right),
$$

where $P_{\text {Lagrange }}(x)$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Lagrange interpolating polynomial.
Compare with the error formula for Taylor polynomials

$$
f(x)=P_{\text {Taylor }}(x)+\frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x))}{(n+1)!}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{n+1},
$$

Problem: Applying the error term may be difficult...

## The Lagrange and Taylor Error Terms

Just to get a feeling for the non-constant part of the error terms in the Lagrange and Taylor approximations, we plot those parts on the interval $[0,4]$ with interpolation points $x_{i}=i, i=0,1, \ldots, 4$ :



Figure: [LEFT] The non-constant error terms for the Lagrange interpolation oscillates in the interval $[-4,4]$ (and takes the value zero at the node point $x_{k}$ ), and [RIGHT] the non-constant error term for the Taylor extrapolation grows in the interval [0, 1024].

## MatLab and Lagrange Polynomials

Example: Find the Lagrange polynomial through the points:

$$
(0,-5), \quad(1,-6), \quad(2,-1), \quad \text { and } \quad(3,16)
$$

The Lagrange polynomial satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(x)= & \frac{(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)}{(0-1)(0-2)(0-3)}(-5)+\frac{x(x-2)(x-3)}{(1-0)(1-2)(1-3)}(-6) \\
& +\frac{x(x-1)(x-3)}{(2-0)(2-1)(2-3)}(-1)+\frac{x(x-1)(x-2)}{(3-0)(3-1)(3-2)}(16) \\
= & x^{3}-2 x-5
\end{aligned}
$$

This example was reverse engineered to have clean numbers

## MatLab Lagrange Program

Below is a code for accepting vector data x and y and generating the Lagrange polynomial

It outputs points on this polynomial at $(u(k), v(k))$

```
function \(v=p o l y i n t e r p(x, y, u)\)
\% Creates Lagrange polynomial
\(\mathrm{n}=\) length (x) ;
\(\mathrm{v}=\) zeros(size(u));
for \(k=1: n\)
    w = ones (size(u));
    for \(j=[1: k-1 k+1: n]\)
        \(\mathrm{w}=(\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{j})) . /(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{k})-\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{j})) \cdot \mathrm{*} \mathrm{w}\);
        end
        \(\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{w} * \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{k})\);
    end
```


## MatLab and Lagrange Polynomials

Example (with MatLab): Our example satisfies

$$
x=0: 3 ; y=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
-5 & -6 & -1 & 16
\end{array}\right] ;
$$

We enter closely spaced points, $u$, the function polyinterp, and plot the results

```
u = -0.25:0.01:3.25;
v = polyinterp(x,y,u);
plot((x,y,'O',u,v,'-');grid;
```



## MatLab and Lagrange Polynomials

Example (with MatLab): Continuing our example we can use the symbolic package in MatLab to obtain the polynomial expression: symx = sym('x')

The polynomial is given by:
$\mathrm{P}=$ polyinterp(x,y,symx)
$P=(x *(x-1) *(x-3)) / 2+5 *(x / 2-1) *(x / 3-\ldots$
$1) *(x-1)+(16 * x *(x / 2-1 / 2) *(x-2)) / 3-\ldots$
$6 * x *(x / 2-3 / 2) *(x-2)$
This is simplified with
P = simplify(P)
$P=x^{\wedge} 3-2 * x-5$

## Vandermonde Matrix and Interpreting Polynomial

Alternate Scheme: Suppose we want an interpreting polynomial of the form:

$$
P(x)=c_{1} x^{n-1}+c_{2} x^{n-2}+\cdots+c_{n-1} x+c_{n}
$$

Given data points $x=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and $y=\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]$ we can obtain the coefficients $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ by solving the system:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{1}^{n-1} & x_{1}^{n-2} & \cdots & x_{1} & 1 \\
x_{2}^{n-1} & x_{2}^{n-2} & \cdots & x_{2} & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
x_{n}^{n-1} & x_{n}^{n-2} & \cdots & x_{n} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

This system $V c=y$ contains the important Vandermonde matrix, V

## Vandermonde Matrix and MatLab

Given the data $x=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, the elements of the Vandermonde matrix, $V$, satisfy

$$
v_{k, j}=x_{k}^{n-j}
$$

MatLab has the function vander, which generates the Vandermonde matrix, $V$

For our example above, $x=0: 3 ; y=[-5-6-116]$; $\mathrm{V}=$ vander(x) produces

$$
V=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
8 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\
27 & 9 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{V} \backslash \mathrm{y}$ ' produces $c=[1,0,-2,-5]^{t}$ or

$$
P(x)=x^{3}-2 x-5
$$

## Numerical Quadrature - Basics

Numerical Quadrature: Basics

- Integration is valuable in many applications - often the anti-derivative is unavailable
- Introduction showed the definition of the Riemann integral
- Midpoint rule directly uses the definition with even intervals and function evaluations at the midpoint of the subintervals
- The convergence of this method appeared to be $\mathcal{O}\left((\Delta x)^{2}\right)$
- Can we do better with interpolating functions on the subintervals $\left[x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right]$ ?


## Numerical Quadrature - Basics

There are two primary means of improving Numerical integration
(1) If $a=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n-1}<x_{n}=b$, then properties of the integral give

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f(x) d x+\cdots+\int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_{n}} f(x) d x
$$

We create composite integrals and choose appropriate $x_{i}$ 's, which subdivide our function $f(x)$ into $n$ subintervals with each subinterval providing a smaller domain and better approximation of $f$ on that subinterval.
(2) Take a particular subinterval, then partition that subinterval further to obtain a reasonable approximation of $f(x)$ on the subinterval by an interpreting polynomial, which is precisely integrable and has a known error bound.

## Numerical Quadrature - Basics



Our aim is to obtain the greatest accuracy approximating the integral with the minimum amount of computation

- We can vary the spacing $x_{j}$, not necessarily uniform
- We can alter how $f(x)$ is approximated - Using polynomials, which are exactly integrable


## Numerical Quadrature - Single Interval

We begin our analysis with the second point above (avoiding the composite integral for now)

We focus on a single interval and consider interpolating polynomials approximating $f(x)$ on the single interval

The basic idea is to replace integration by a clever summation:

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \quad \rightarrow \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} f_{i}
$$

where $a \leq x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n} \leq b, f_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right)$.

The coefficients $a_{i}$ and the nodes $x_{i}$ are to be selected.

Various means of selecting $a_{i}$ and $x_{i}$ alter the efficiency and accuracy of our algorithm

## Building Integration Schemes with Lagrange Polynomials

Given the nodes $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ we can use the Lagrange interpolating polynomial

$$
P_{n}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} L_{n, i}(x), \quad \text { with error } \quad E_{n}(x)=\frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x))}{(n+1)!} \prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(x-x_{i}\right)
$$

to obtain

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\underbrace{\int_{a}^{b} P_{n}(x) d x}_{\text {The Approximation }}+\underbrace{\int_{a}^{b} E_{n}(x) d x}_{\text {The Error Estimate }}
$$

## Identifying the Coefficients

The Lagrange interpolating polynomials are readily integrated to give the weighting coefficients $a_{i}$

$$
\int_{a}^{b} P_{n}(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} L_{n, i}(x) d x=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} \underbrace{\int_{a}^{b} L_{n, i}(x) d x}_{a_{i}}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} f_{i} a_{i}
$$

Hence we write

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} f_{i}
$$

with error given by

$$
E(f)=\int_{a}^{b} E_{n}(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x))}{(n+1)!} \prod_{i=0}^{n}\left(x-x_{i}\right) d x .
$$

Trapezoidal \& Simpson's Rules Newton-Cotes Formulas

## Example 1: Trapezoidal Rule

Let $a=x_{0}<x_{1}=b$, and use the linear interpolating polynomial

$$
P_{1}(x)=f_{0}\left[\frac{x-x_{1}}{x_{0}-x_{1}}\right]+f_{1}\left[\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right] .
$$



## Example 1: Trapezoidal Rule

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x= & \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left[f_{0}\left[\frac{x-x_{1}}{x_{0}-x_{1}}\right]+f_{1}\left[\frac{x-x_{0}}{x_{1}-x_{0}}\right]\right] d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi(x))\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

The error term (use the Weighted Mean Value Theorem):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi(x))\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) d x=f^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right) d x \\
& =f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)\left[\frac{x^{3}}{3}-\frac{x_{1}+x_{0}}{2} x^{2}+x_{0} x_{1} x\right]_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}=-\frac{h^{3}}{6} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h=x_{1}-x_{0}=b-a$. Numerical Integration (Quadrature)

Trapezoidal \& Simpson's Rules Newton-Cotes Formulas

## Example 1: Trapezoidal Rule

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\left[f_{0}\left[\frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{2}}{2\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)}\right]+f_{1}\left[\frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}{2\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)}\right]\right]_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \\
& =\frac{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)}{2}\left[f_{0}+f_{1}\right]-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=h\left[\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}\right)}{2}\right]-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi), \quad h=b-a .
$$ Numerical Integration (Quadrature)

## Example 2a: Simpson's Rule (sub-optimal error bound)

Let $x_{0}=a, x_{1}=\frac{a+b}{2}, x_{2}=b$, let $h=\frac{b-a}{2}$ and use the $\boldsymbol{q u a d r a t i c}$ interpolating polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{2}}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right)\left(x_{0}-x_{2}\right)}+f\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+f\left(x_{2}\right) \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)}{\left(x_{2}-x_{0}\right)\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)}\right] d x \\
& \quad+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{2}} \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{2}\right)}{6} f^{(3)}(\xi(x)) d x \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=h\left[\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)+4 f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)}{3}\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(h^{4} f^{(3)}(\xi)\right)
$$

## Example 2b: Simpson's Rule (optimal error bound)

The optimal error bound for Simpson's rule can be obtained by Taylor expanding $f(x)$ about the mid-point $x_{1}$ :
$f(x)=f\left(x_{1}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)}{2}\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)}{6}\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{3}+\frac{f^{(4)}(\xi(x))}{24}\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{4}$,
then formally integrating this expression, to get:
$\int_{a}^{b}\left[f\left(x_{1}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x-x_{1}\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)}{2}\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)}{6}\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{3}+\frac{f^{(4)}(\xi(x))}{24}\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{4}\right] d x$.

After use of the weighted mean value theorem, and the approximation $f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)-2 f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)\right]-\frac{h^{2}}{12} f^{(4)}(\xi)$, and a whole lot of algebra (Yanofsky - UCLA Notes) we end up with

$$
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{2}} f(x) d x=h\left[\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)+4 f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)}{3}\right]-\frac{h^{5}}{90} f^{(4)}(\xi)
$$ Numerical Integration (Quadrature)

Trapezoidal \& Simpson's Rules Newton-Cotes Formulas

## Example 2: Simpson's Rule

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=h\left[\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)+4 f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)}{3}\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(h^{5} f^{(4)}(\xi)\right)
$$



## Integration Examples

| $f(x)$ | $[a, b]$ | $\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x$ | Trapezoidal | Error | Simpson | Error |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $x$ | $[0,1]$ | $1 / 2$ | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| $x^{2}$ | $[0,1]$ | $1 / 3$ | 0.5 | 0.16667 | 0.33333 | 0 |
| $x^{3}$ | $[0,1]$ | $1 / 4$ | 0.5 | 0.25000 | 0.25000 | 0 |
| $x^{4}$ | $[0,1]$ | $1 / 5$ | 0.5 | 0.30000 | 0.20833 | 0.0083333 |
| $e^{x}$ | $[0,1]$ | $e-1$ | 1.8591 | 0.14086 | 1.7189 | 0.0005793 |

The Trapezoidal rule gives exact solutions for linear functions. - The error terms contains a second derivative.

Simpson's rule gives exact solutions for polynomials of degree less than 4 . - The error term contains a fourth derivative.

## Degree of Accuracy (Precision)

## Definition (Degree of Accuracy)

The Degree of Accuracy, or precision, of a quadrature formula is the largest positive integer $n$ such that the formula is exact for $x^{k}$ for all $k=0,1, \ldots, n$.

With this definition:

| Scheme | Degree of Accuracy |
| :--- | :---: |
| Trapezoidal | 1 |
| Simpson's | 3 |

Trapezoidal and Simpson's are examples of a class of methods known as Newton-Cotes formulas.

## Newton-Cotes Formulas - Two Types

Closed The $(n+1)$ point closed NCF uses nodes $x_{i}=x_{0}+i h, i=$ $0,1, \ldots, n$, where $x_{0}=a, x_{n}=b$ and $h=(b-a) / n$. It is called closed since the endpoints are included as nodes.


## Newton-Cotes Formulas - Two Types

Open The $(n+1)$ point open NCF uses nodes $x_{i}=x_{0}+i h, i=$ $0,1, \ldots, n$ where $h=(b-a) /(n+2)$ and $x_{0}=a+h, x_{n}=b-h$. (We label $x_{-1}=a, x_{n+1}=b$.)


## Closed Newton-Cotes Formulas

The approximation is

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

where

$$
a_{i}=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}} L_{n, i}(x) d x=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}} \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \frac{\left(x-x_{j}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)} d x
$$

Note: The Lagrange polynomial $L_{n, i}(x)$ models a function which takes the value 0 at all $x_{j}(j \neq i)$, and 1 at $x_{i}$. Hence, the coefficient $a_{i}$ captures the integral of a function, which is 1 at $x_{i}$ and zero at the other node points.

## Closed Newton-Cotes Formulas - Error

## Theorem

Suppose that $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)$ denotes the $(n+1)$ point closed Newton-Cotes formula with $x_{0}=a, x_{n}=b$, and $h=(b-a) / n$. Then there exists $\xi \in(a, b)$ for which

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)+\frac{h^{n+3} f^{(n+2)}(\xi)}{(n+2)!} \int_{0}^{n} t^{2}(t-1) \cdots(t-n) d t
$$

if $n$ is even and $f \in C^{n+2}[a, b]$, and

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)+\frac{h^{n+2} f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} \int_{0}^{n} t(t-1) \cdots(t-n) d t
$$

if $n$ is odd and $f \in C^{n+1}[a, b]$.
Note that when $n$ is an even integer, the degree of precision is $(n+1)$. When $n$ is odd, the degree of precision is only $n$.

## Closed Newton-Cotes Formulas - Examples

$\mathbf{n}=1$ : Trapezoid Rule

$$
\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}\right)\right]-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)
$$

$\mathbf{n}=2$ : Simpson's Rule

$$
\frac{h}{3}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)+4 f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)\right]-\frac{h^{5}}{90} f^{(4)}(\xi)
$$

n = 3: Simpson's $\frac{3}{8}$-Rule

$$
\frac{3 h}{8}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)+3 f\left(x_{1}\right)+3 f\left(x_{2}\right)+f\left(x_{3}\right)\right]-\frac{3 h^{5}}{80} f^{(4)}(\xi)
$$

n=4: Boole's Rule

$$
\frac{2 h}{45}\left[7 f\left(x_{0}\right)+32 f\left(x_{1}\right)+12 f\left(x_{2}\right)+32 f\left(x_{3}\right)+7 f\left(x_{4}\right)\right]-\frac{8 h^{7}}{945} f^{(6)}(\xi)
$$

## Open Newton-Cotes Formulas

The approximation is

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\int_{x_{-1}}^{x_{n+1}} f(x) d x \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right),
$$

where

$$
a_{i}=\int_{x_{-1}}^{x_{n+1}} L_{n, i}(x) d x=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}} \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \frac{\left(x-x_{j}\right)}{\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)} d x
$$

## Open Newton-Cotes Formulas - Error

## Theorem

Suppose that $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)$ denotes the $(n+1)$ point open
Newton-Cotes formula with $x_{-1}=a, x_{n+1}=b$, and $h=(b-a) /(n+2)$. Then there exists $\xi \in(a, b)$ for which

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)+\frac{h^{n+3} f^{(n+2)}(\xi)}{(n+2)!} \int_{-1}^{n+1} t^{2}(t-1) \cdots(t-n) d t
$$

if $n$ is even and $f \in C^{n+2}[a, b]$, and

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} f\left(x_{i}\right)+\frac{h^{n+2} f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} \int_{-1}^{n+1} t(t-1) \cdots(t-n) d t
$$

if $n$ is odd and $f \in C^{n+1}[a, b]$.
Note that when $n$ is an even integer, the degree of precision is $(n+1)$. When $n$ is odd, the degree of precision is only $n$.

## Open Newton-Cotes Formulas - Examples

$\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}:$ Midpoint Rule

$$
2 h f\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{h^{3}}{3} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)
$$

n = 1: Trapezoid Method

$$
\frac{3 h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}\right)\right]+\frac{3 h^{3}}{4} f^{\prime \prime}(\xi)
$$

n = 2: Milne's Rule

$$
\frac{4 h}{3}\left[2 f\left(x_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)+2 f\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+\frac{14 h^{5}}{45} f^{(4)}(\xi)
$$

n=3: No Name

$$
\frac{5 h}{24}\left[11 f\left(x_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)+11 f\left(x_{3}\right)\right]+\frac{95 h^{5}}{144} f^{(4)}(\xi)
$$

## Divide and Conquer!

Say you want to compute:

$$
\int_{0}^{100} f(x) d x
$$

Is it a Good Idea ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ to directly apply your favorite Newton-Cotes formula to this integral?!?

## No!

With the closed 5-point NCF, we have $h=25$ and $h^{5} / 90 \sim 10^{5}$ so even with a bound on $f^{(6)}(\xi)$ the error will be large.

Better: Apply the closed 5-point NCF to the integrals

$$
\int_{4 i}^{4(i+1)} f(x) d x, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, 24
$$

then sum. "Composite Numerical Integration"

