

1. a. This is a separable differential equation, so

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dt} &= 3t^2y \\ \int \frac{dy}{y} &= \int 3t^2 dt \\ \ln|y| &= t^3 + C \\ y(t) &= e^{t^3+C} = Ae^{t^3}\end{aligned}$$

c. This is a linear equation like Newton's Law of Cooling. We write  $\frac{dy}{dt} = 3 - 2y = -2\left(y - \frac{3}{2}\right)$ , so take  $z(t) = y(t) - \frac{3}{2}$ . Thus,  $z' = -2z$ , which has the solution

$$\begin{aligned}z(t) &= Ce^{-2t} = y(t) - \frac{3}{2} \\ y(t) &= Ce^{-2t} + \frac{3}{2}\end{aligned}$$

e. This is a separable differential equation, so

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dt} &= 3t^2y^2 \\ \int y^{-2}dy &= \int 3t^2 dt \\ -y^{-1} &= t^3 + C \\ y(t) &= -\frac{1}{t^3 + C}\end{aligned}$$

g. This is a separable differential equation, so

$$\begin{aligned}2ty \frac{dy}{dt} &= t^2 + 4 \\ \int 2y dy &= \int \left(t + \frac{4}{t}\right) dt \\ y^2 &= \frac{t^2}{2} + 4 \ln|t| + C \\ y(t) &= \sqrt{\frac{t^2}{2} + 4 \ln|t| + C}\end{aligned}$$

2. a. This is a separable differential equation, so

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dt} &= 2ty \\ \int \frac{dy}{y} &= \int 2t dt \\ \ln|y| &= t^2 + C \\ y(t) &= e^{t^2+C} = Ae^{t^2}\end{aligned}$$

From the initial condition,  $y(0) = 5 = A$ , it follows that the solution is given by

$$y(t) = 5e^{t^2}.$$

c. This is a separable differential equation, so

$$\begin{aligned}(1 + 2y)\frac{dy}{dt} &= 2t \\ \int (1 + 2y)dy &= \int 2tdt \\ y + y^2 &= t^2 + C\end{aligned}$$

This gives an implicit answer for the solution into which we substitute the the initial condition,  $y(2) = 0$ . It follows that

$$0 + 0^2 = 2^2 + C \quad \text{or} \quad C = -4.$$

The implicit answer is

$$y^2(t) + y(t) = t^2 - 4,$$

which can be solved explicitly to give

$$y(t) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{4t^2 - 15}}{2}.$$

f. This is a separable differential equation, so

$$\begin{aligned}t\frac{dy}{dt} &= 2y \\ \int \frac{dy}{y} &= \int \frac{2dt}{t} \\ \ln|y| &= 2\ln|t| + C \\ y(t) &= e^{2\ln|t|+C} = e^{\ln(t^2)}e^C = At^2\end{aligned}$$

The initial condition,  $y(1) = 4$ , is substituted into this equation giving  $y(1) = 4 = A(1)^2$  or  $A = 4$ . It follows that

$$y(t) = 4t^2.$$

g. This is a separable differential equation, so

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dy}{dt} &= 2\cos(2t)y^2 \\ \int \frac{dy}{y^2} &= \int 2\cos(2t)dt \\ -\frac{1}{y(t)} &= \sin(2t) + C \\ y(t) &= -\frac{1}{\sin(2t) + C}\end{aligned}$$

The initial condition,  $y(0) = 1$ , is substituted into this equation giving  $y(0) = 1 = -\frac{1}{C}$  or  $C = -1$ . It follows that

$$y(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \sin(2t)}.$$

4. a. Begin by separating the variables in this differential equation. The result is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dV}{dt} &= 0.04V^{3/4} \\ \int V^{-3/4} dV &= \int 0.04 dt \\ 4V^{1/4} &= 0.04t + C \\ V(t) &= \left(0.01t + \frac{C}{4}\right)^4\end{aligned}$$

The initial condition,  $V(0) = 1$ , is substituted into this equation giving  $V(0) = 1 = \left(\frac{C}{4}\right)^4$  or  $C = 4$ . It follows that

$$V(t) = (0.01t + 1)^4.$$

b. For the cell to double its volume, we solve

$$\begin{aligned}V(t) &= (0.01t + 1)^4 = 2 \\ (0.01t + 1) &= 2^{1/4} \\ t &= 100(2^{1/4} - 1) \simeq 18.92.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it takes about 18.92 time units for the cell to double its volume.

5. a. The differential equation described in this problem is given by

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = kV^{2/3}.$$

The general solution is found by separating variables to give

$$\begin{aligned}\int V^{-2/3} dV &= \int k dt \\ 3V^{1/3} &= kt + C \\ V(t) &= \left(\frac{kt + C}{3}\right)^3.\end{aligned}$$

b. If  $V(0) = 1$ , then  $V(0) = \left(\frac{C}{3}\right)^3 = 1$  implies that  $C = 3$ . Thus, the solution for the growth of the raindrop is

$$V(t) = \left(\frac{0.1t + 3}{3}\right)^3.$$

For this solution to grow to 8 units,

$$V(t) = \left(\frac{0.1t + 3}{3}\right)^3 = 8 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{0.1t + 3}{3} = 2.$$

It follows that  $t = 30$  time units.

7. a. Since  $N(t) = k\pi x^2(t)$ ,  $x(t) = \sqrt{N(t)/k\pi}$ . It follows that  $C(t)$  can be written

$$C(t) = 2\sqrt{\frac{\pi N(t)}{k}}.$$

b. The assumption is that the rate of spread of the disease (which is the change in number of diseased trees) is proportional to the circumference of the infected region. Since  $C(t)$  is the circumference (and we chose  $q$  to be the proportionality factor), the differential equation is given by

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = qC, \quad N(0) = 1,$$

assuming we start with one infected tree in the middle of the grove.

c. With the model for the spread of a disease in an orchard, we apply the separation of variables technique to the initial value problem

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = 2q\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k}}N^{1/2}, \quad N(0) = 1.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int N^{-1/2} dN &= \int 2q\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k}} dt \\ 2N^{1/2} &= 2q\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k}}t + C \\ N(t) &= \left( q\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k}}t + \frac{C}{2} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

The initial condition,  $N(0) = 1$ , is substituted into this equation giving  $N(0) = 1 = \left(\frac{C}{2}\right)^2$  or  $C = 2$ . It follows that

$$N(t) = \left( q\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k}}t + 1 \right)^2.$$

8. a. The solution to the Malthusian growth equation is  $Y(t) = 2000 e^{0.08t}$ . It doubles when  $Y(t) = 4000$ , so  $4000 = 2000 e^{0.08t}$  or  $e^{0.08t} = 2$ . Thus,  $0.08t = \ln(2)$  or  $t = 12.5 \ln(2) = 8.66$  hr.

b. This is a separable equation, so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dY}{dt} &= (0.08 - 0.002t)Y \\ \int \frac{dY}{Y} &= \int (0.08 - 0.002t) dt \\ \ln |Y(t)| &= 0.08t - 0.001t^2 + C \\ Y(t) &= \exp 0.08t - 0.001t^2 + C = A e^{0.08t - 0.001t^2} \end{aligned}$$

The initial condition gives  $Y(0) = 2000 = A$ . It follows that

$$Y(t) = 2000 e^{0.08t - 0.001t^2}.$$

c. The maximum occurs when the  $\frac{dY}{dt} = 0$ , which is true when  $0.08 - 0.002t = 0$  or  $t = 40$ . From the equation above,  $Y(40) = 2000 e^{0.08(40) - 0.001(40)^2} = 2000 e^{1.6} \simeq 9906$ . The population is 2000 when the exponent of the solution is zero, so  $0.08t - 0.001t^2 = 0$  when  $t = 0$  or 80 hrs. Thus, the population returns to 2000 after 80 hrs. (Short solutions have the graph.)

10. a. For convenience, let 1941 correspond to  $t = 0$  and define  $M(t)$  to be the population of India. The Malthusian growth model is

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = kM, \quad M(0) = 319 \text{ (million)}.$$

The solution to this is  $M(t) = 319e^{kt}$ . Since the population in 1961 ( $t = 20$ ) is 439 million, the Malthusian growth model gives  $M(20) = 319e^{20k} = 439$ , so  $k = \frac{1}{20} \ln\left(\frac{439}{319}\right) \simeq 0.015965$ . The population from this model for 1951 is given by  $M(10) = 319e^{0.015965(10)} = 374.2$  million. The percent error is  $100 \times \frac{|374.2 - 361|}{361} = 3.7\%$ .

b. We solve the separable differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP}{dt} &= (at + b)p \\ \int \frac{dP}{P} &= \int (at + b)dt \\ \ln |P| &= \frac{at^2}{2} + bt + C \\ P(t) &= \exp\left(\frac{at^2}{2} + bt + C\right) = A \cdot \exp\left(\frac{at^2}{2} + bt\right). \end{aligned}$$

From the initial data,  $P(0) = 319$ , it follows that  $A = 319$ . From the population data in 1951 and 1961, we have

$$P(10) = 361 = 319e^{50a+10b} \quad \text{and} \quad P(20) = 439 = 319e^{200a+20b}.$$

Thus,

$$e^{50a+10b} = \frac{361}{319} = 1.13166 \quad \text{and} \quad e^{200a+20b} = \frac{439}{319} = 1.3762.$$

Taking logarithms gives

$$50a + 10b = \ln(1.13166) = 0.123687 \quad \text{and} \quad 200a + 20b = \ln(1.3762) = 0.319308.$$

Multiply the first equation by -2 and add it to the second equation to get  $100a = 0.319308 - 0.247373$  or  $a = 0.00071935$ . Similarly, multiply the first equation by -4 and add it to the second equation to obtain  $-20b = 0.319308 - 0.494747$  or  $b = 0.0087720$ . Thus, the nonautonomous Malthusian growth model becomes

$$P(t) = 319e^{0.00035967t^2 + 0.0087720t}.$$

c. The Malthusian growth model gives the population in 1991 as  $M(50) = 708.7$  million, while the nonautonomous Malthusian growth model gives  $P(50) = 1,215.6$  million. The percent error from the actual population of 846 million for the Malthusian growth model is 16.2%, while the percent error for the nonautonomous Malthusian growth model is 43.7%. So in this case, the Malthusian growth model is the better model. See the short solutions for the graph.