

$$(a) \hat{y} = 20.25 + 0.19x_1 + 0.54x_2$$

$$(b) \hat{y}_i = 20.25 + 0.19(65) + 0.54(74) = 72.56$$

$$e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i = 71 - 72.56 = -1.56$$

$$(c) \hat{\beta}_2 = 0.54$$

$$(d) \hat{\beta}_1 \pm t(n-k-1)_{\alpha/2} SE_{\hat{\beta}_1} = 0.19 \pm 1.99(0.088) = 0.19 \pm 0.175 = (0.015, 0.365)$$

students of fathers having the same height.

We are 95% confident that, for each inch increase in mother's height, student height increases, on average, by .015 to .365 inches, comparing. Because the CI does not include 0, mother's height is useful for prediction of student height.

$$(e) H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0 \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_a: \beta_1 \neq 0 \quad \text{and/or} \quad \beta_2 \neq 0$$

$$F = 33.86 \quad p \approx 0 < \alpha = 0.05 \Rightarrow \text{reject } H_0$$

Mother's height and father's height together have statistically significant predictive value.

$$(f) R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST} = \frac{454.71}{944.85} = 0.481$$

About 48.1% of the total variation in student height is explained by mother's and father's heights.

$$(g) \text{95\% CI for } E_{y_{\text{new}}} (69.976, 71.365)$$

We are 95% confident that the mean height of many students with mother's height = 63 inches and father's height = 71 inches will be between 69.976 and 71.365 inches.

$$\text{95\% PI for } y_{\text{new}}: (65.460, 75.881)$$

We are 95% confident that the height of a student with mother's height = 63 inches + father's height = 71 inches will be between 65.46 + 75.881 inches.

$$2. (a) S_{xx} = \sum x^2 - \frac{(\sum x)^2}{n} = 509.12 - \frac{100^2}{20} = 9.12$$

$$S_{xy} = \sum xy - \frac{(\sum x)(\sum y)}{n} = 257.66 - \frac{100 \times 50}{20} = 7.66$$

$$\bar{x} = \sum x/n = 100/20 = 5$$

$$\bar{y} = \sum y/n = 50/20 = 2.5$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_{xx}} = \frac{7.66}{9.12} = 0.84$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x} = 2.5 - 0.84(5) = -1.7$$

The L.S. prediction equation is $\hat{y} = -1.7 + 0.84x$

Based on this equation, a one-point increase in the entrance test score is associated with a 0.84 point increase in the freshman GPA.

$$(b) H_0: \beta_1 = 0$$

$$H_a: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

$$S_{yy} = \sum y^2 - \frac{(\sum y)^2}{n} = 134.84 - \frac{50^2}{20} = 9.84$$

$$S_e = \sqrt{\frac{S_{yy} - (S_{xy})^2/S_{xx}}{n-2}} = \sqrt{\frac{9.84 - \frac{(7.66)^2}{9.12}}{20-2}} = 0.435$$

$$SE_{\hat{\beta}_1} = \frac{S_e}{\sqrt{S_{xx}}} = 0.435/\sqrt{9.12} = 0.144$$

$$t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - 0}{SE_{\hat{\beta}_1}} = \frac{0.84 - 0}{0.144} = 5.83$$

$$df = n - 2 = 18$$

RR reject H_0 if $|t| > t_{\alpha/2}(18) = 2.101$

Since $5.83 > 2.101 \Rightarrow$ reject H_0 .

2(b) continued

OR $p\text{-value} = 2 P(t(18) > 5.83)$

$$< 2 \times .0005 = .001 < \alpha = .05 \Rightarrow \text{reject } H_0$$

There is a linear relationship between entrance test score and freshman GPA.

(c) $X_{h+1} = 4.7$

$$\hat{y}_{h+1} = -1.7 + 0.84(4.7) = 2.248$$

95% prediction interval for y_{h+1}

$$\hat{y}_{h+1} \pm t_{\alpha/2}(n-2) s_{\varepsilon} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X_{h+1} - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}}$$

$$= 2.248 \pm 2.101(0.435) \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{20} + \frac{(4.7 - 5)^2}{9.12}}$$

$$= 2.248 \pm 0.941 \approx (1.31, 3.19)$$

We are 95% confident that Mary Jones' freshman GPA will be between 1.31 and 3.19.

(d) The C.I. for $E y_{h+1}$ would be narrower than the P.I. for y_{h+1} because the C.I. is for the average freshman GPA for all students whose entrance score is 4.7 and the P.I. is for one particular student whose entrance score is 4.7. There is more variability in a single y_{h+1} than in $E y_{h+1}$.