

# Russell's Analysis

Jean Mark Gawron

May 5, 2020

## 1 The basics

Russell's account of *The king of France is bald*. is the conjunction of three claims, an existence claim  $p$  a uniqueness claim  $q$ , and a baldness claim  $r$ . The first two are presupposed and the third asserted (though Russell is not defending a fundamental distinction between presupposed and asserted).

The King of France is bald					
$\exists x$	KoF( $x$ )	&	$(\forall y \text{KoF}(y) \rightarrow x = y)$	&	Bald( $x$ )
	Kof exists	&	Kof is unique	&	Kof is bald
	$p$	&	$q$	&	$r$
	Presupposed				Asserted

Now consider:

(a) I like the visitor from Spain.

The Russellian analysis of (a) has the usual three components, each of which has been placed on a separate line of the translation in (b):

- a. I like the visitor from Spain.
- b.  $\exists x$ [ visitor( $x$ ) & from( $x$ , Spain) &  
 $\forall y$ [(visitor( $y$ ) & from( $y$ , Spain))  $\rightarrow$  ( $y = x$ )] &  
 like( $j$ ,  $x$ )]

The first line is the existence presupposition (there exists an  $x$  who is a visitor from Spain); the second is the uniqueness presupposition ( $x$  is the only visitor from Spain); and the third is what's asserted. Note that the Noun Phrase *the visitor from Spain* includes the property of being from Spain, so that what belongs on the left hand side of the arrow in the uniqueness presupposition includes both being a visitor and being from Spain (in red!). The following is the wrong translation for the given sentence:

$$\exists x$$
[ visitor( $x$ ) & from( $x$ , Spain) &  
 $\forall y$ [visitor( $y$ )  $\rightarrow$  ( $y = x$ )] &  
 like( $j$ ,  $x$ )]

This says there exists an  $x$  who's a visitor from Spain, and  $x$  is the only visitor. It would be the right translation for *I like the visitor, who's from Spain*.

## 2 Uniqueness

The uniqueness part of the Russellian analysis:

$$\forall y[(\text{visitor}(y) \ \& \ \text{from}(y, \text{Spain})) \rightarrow (y = x)]$$

This can be paraphrased:

Only  $x$  is a visitor from Spain.

To understand the logical representation of *only* you should think of it as a sort of backward *every*:

- a. Every dog is a mammal.  $\forall x \text{ dog}(x) \rightarrow \text{mammal}(x)$
- b. Every mammal is a dog  $\forall x \text{ mammal}(x) \rightarrow \text{dog}(x)$
- c. Only dogs are mammals  $\forall x \text{ mammal}(x) \rightarrow \text{dog}(x)$

Similarly:

- a. Only Clark Kent is Superman  $\forall y \text{ Superman}(y) \rightarrow y = \text{ck}$
- b. Only  $x$  is a visitor from Spain  $\forall y (\text{visitor}(y) \ \& \ \text{from}(y, \text{Spain})) \rightarrow y = x$