[MS-0398.041.006.002]

RECEIVED
OCT 24 1991
ANS'D

On September 16, 1991, Board Order No. 4, the Board of Supervisors determined to approve Redistricting Plan A-1.2, Option 2, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745575, including certain amendments, and directed the Chief Administrative Officer to submit appropriate documents to implement this Plan on September 17, 1991.

There is presented to the Board a letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745543, from the Chief Administrative Officer, concerning the Redistricting of Supervisorial Districts, and recommending that the Board:

1. Read title and waive further reading of Ordinance, entitled:

   AN ORDINANCE ADJUSTING THE BOUNDARIES OF SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS AND REDEFINING THE BOUNDARIES OF ALL SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS OF THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO AND REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 6097 (NEW SERIES)

   Introduce Ordinance for further Board consideration and adoption on October 1, 1991.

2. Direct the Registrar of Voters to notify those registered voters whose Districts have changed as a result of the adoption of the Redistricting Ordinance.

There is also presented to the Board the following Documents:

DOCUMENT NO.	TITLE
745594	Chief Administrative Officer Proposed Redistricting Plan A-2.1 (Option 2)
745595	ALTERNATIVE: Chief Administrative Officer Proposed Redistricting Plan A-2.1 (Option 2) - 1990 Census Ethnicity/Race Summary by Supervisorial District - 9/17/91
745596	ALTERNATIVE: Chief Administrative Officer Proposed Redistricting Plan A-2.1 (Option 2) - 1990 Census Ethnicity/Race Summary by Supervisorial District - 9/17/91 - Extended data

The Chairman reads into the record a letter in opposition to the Redistricting Plan A-2.1 (Option 2), because the City of Carlsbad is to be split and placed in two separate Districts.

Bud Lewis, Mayor of the City of Carlsbad, speaks in opposition to the proposed Redistricting Plan, and strongly urges the Board to place the City of Carlsbad all in one District.

During discussion, concern is expressed about the splits in District 5 and District 3, in the Redistricting Plan A-2.1 (Option 2). Comments indicate the Redistricting Plan A-2.1 (Option 2) accounts for appropriate ethnicity groupings within Districts as needed under the law.

No. 64

County Counsel having read the title of the proposed Ordinance, ON MOTION of Supervisor Bailey, seconded by Supervisor Bilbray, the Board of Supervisors waives further reading of the Ordinance in the matter of the Redistricting of Supervisorial Districts, said Ordinance entitled:

AN ORDINANCE ADJUSTING THE BOUNDARIES OF SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS AND REDEFINING THE BOUNDARIES OF ALL SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICTS OF THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO AND REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 6097 (NEW SERIES)

Roll call on the foregoing motion results in the following vote:

AYES: Supervisors Bilbray, Bailey, Golding, Williams and MacDonald
NOES: Supervisors None
ABSENT: Supervisors None

- - -

No. 65

ON MOTION of Supervisor Williams, seconded by Supervisor Bailey, the Board of Supervisors introduces the Ordinance concerning Redistricting of Supervisorial Districts for further Board consideration and adoption on October 1, 1991; and directs the Registrar of Voters to notify those registered voters whose Districts have changed as a result of the adoption of the Redistricting Ordinance.

Roll call on the foregoing motion results in the following vote:

AYES: Supervisors Bilbray, Bailey, and Williams
NOES: Supervisors Golding and MacDonald
ABSENT: Supervisors None

- - -

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
County of San Diego)

I, THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Diego, State of California, hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy with the original order adopted by said Board at a regular meeting thereof held September 17, 1991 (64-65), by the vote herein stated, which original order is now on file in my office; that the same contains a full, true and correct transcript therefrom and of the whole thereof.

Witness my hand and the seal of said Board of Supervisors, this 22nd day of October, 1991.

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By Esther C. Ryan
Esther C. Ryan, Deputy

No. 4

Earlier this date, Board Order No. 2, the Board of Supervisors considered Redistricting of Supervisorial Districts; and referred to the Chief Administrative Officer to examine and report back in 60 days redistricting of the County to seven, or six with one District at large; and trailed the issue of Redistricting to the Afternoon Session for the Chief Administrative Officer to bring forth revised options to include suggestions made by Members of the Board.

There is presented to the Board, the Chief Administrative Officer's proposed Redistricting Plan A-2.1 Options 1 and 2, and the Chief Administrative Officer's proposed Redistricting Plan A-2.1 - Mission Bay Area; Board of Supervisors Document No. 745575.

The Assistant Chief Administrative Officer reviews the Options and the impact on population and ethnicity for each of the Options.

County Counsel comments on the interpretation of the Courts on the Voting Rights Act.

Mr. Aguirre represents the Chicano Federation. He appears before the Board and cites legal authorities in support of their position. He respectfully states there is a misunderstanding with respect to facts of law that will carry the issue to litigation.

Supervisor MacDonald opposes the proposed split of Carlsbad north of La Costa Boulevard, indicating he would prefer giving up a total city rather than splitting a city. The consensus is that all communities are being impacted by the changes proposed but that Option 2 can meet the objective for ethnic balance.

ON MOTION of Supervisor Bailey, seconded by Supervisor Bilbray, the Board of Supervisors determines to approve the Chief Administrative Officer's proposed revised Plan A-2.1, Option 2, presented in the Afternoon Session, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745575, to include amendments to the boundary between Districts 1 and 2 to follow the planning boundaries east to the

northeast corner of Section 33 and directly south to Section 33 to the Mexican Border; and directs the Chief Administrative Officer to submit appropriate documents to implement this Plan on September 17, 1991.

Roll call on the foregoing motion results in the following vote:

AYES: Supervisors Bilbray, Bailey, Williams
NOES: Supervisors Golding and MacDonald
ABSENT: Supervisors None

- - -

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
County of San Diego)

I, THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Diego, State of California, hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy with the original order adopted by said Board at a regular meeting thereof held September 16, 1991 (4), by the vote herein stated, which original order is now on file in my office; that the same contains a full, true and correct transcript therefrom and of the whole thereof.

Witness my hand and the seal of said Board of Supervisors, this 18th day of October, 1991.

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By Esther C. Ryan
Esther C. Ryan, Deputy

No. 4
9/16/91 LM

No. 2

On September 10, 1991, Board Order No. 79, the Board of Supervisors heard public testimony, reviewed the redistricting plans prepared by the Chief Administrative Officer and community organizations, and ordered action held in abeyance until this date, for staff to examine certain additional refinements to the plans proposed by the Chief Administrative Officer, on the Supervisorial Redistricting After 1990 Census: Proposed Plans A-2, A-2.1, B-2, C-A and C-B. At this time, the Board considers the matter.

There is presented to the Board a letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745577, from the Chief Administrative Officer (CAO) recommending the Board review the proposed redistricting plans and the information presented and select a final plan. Also submitted are the following Alternatives: CAO Proposed Redistricting Plans A-2, A-2.1, B-2, C-A, C-B, CAO proposal to complete the August 28, 1991 Chicano Federation Plan; and Alternative proposal from the following community organizations: Asian Business Association/Asian American Political Coalition/Camino Real Group/Harborview Community Council; Chicano Federation; and San Diego Black Political Action Committee. Also submitted is data showing the total voting population ages 18 and over for each of the submitted Plans.

There is on file a letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745576, from the City of Santee, in opposition to redistricting alternatives which would separate the City of Lemon Grove and the Spring Valley area from other East County communities within the Second District.

Clarence McCoy, San Diego Black Political Action Committee, appears before the Board and states their Group met and tried to reach a consensus on a map to recommend to the Board but were unable to make a decision because they do not have the resources available, and because of the short period of time in which to make a decision.

The following points are raised in discussion:

Oppose Maps that will include San Carlos in District 2 and Spring Valley out of the existing District 2.

Retain Loma Portal in District 4; move Mission Bay area to District 1; add San Carlos to District 3.

(SEE BOARD ORDER NO. 4 THIS DATE)

East and south boundaries for Districts 1 and 2: use boundary between the Grossmont Community College District and the Southwestern Community College District; include all of the Sweetwater Reservoir in District 1; use Otay and Jamul Planning Subregions running from the Mexican Border to the Sweetwater Lakes.

North County communities of interest, with emphasizes that there is opposition from the community of Carlsbad to the potential move of Carlsbad into District 3.

Oppose a map that does not contain at least 40% Hispanic population and maintain ethnic balance.

Observation of jurisdictional boundaries and Spheres of Influence.

Split Poway between the I-15 Corridor and the eastern portion.

Examination of the potential restructuring of San Diego County into seven or six Districts with one District at large.

Commitment to comply with the Voting Rights Act.

All the Maps are discussed, arriving at a consensus that staff study the population percentages in Maps A.2.1, A2, and the Chicano Federation proposal, and return to the Board in the Afternoon Session with options that will include:

Place Mission Beach area in District 1.

Move San Carlos from District 2 to Districts 3 or 4 and move Spring Valley back to District 2.

Incorporate and align Districts 1 and 2 boundary to the Sweetwater Reservoir. District 1 to include Otay Mesa, including all of East Mesa; and boundary adjustment is to represent that depiction drawn by staff on a certain map as suggested by Supervisor Bailey.

Move District 3 up the coast to keep the San Dieguito area intact; leave large portion of Carlsbad in District 5 in order to retain the integrity of San Carlos in the City of San Diego.

The Spring Valley community should be in District 2.

There being no motion and no objection, the Board of Supervisors refers to the Chief Administrative Officer to examine and report back in 60 days, redistricting of San Diego County to seven, or six districts with one district at large; and trails the decision on the issue of proposed Redistricting to the Afternoon Session for the Chief Administrative Officer to bring forth revised options to include suggestions made by Members of the Board.

- - -

No. 80

There is presented to the Board a letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745341, from the Chief Administrative Officer, concerning Supervisorial Redistricting After 1990 Census: Proposed Plans A-2, A-2.1, B-2, C-A, and C-B, making the following recommendations:

1. Receive the Chief Administrative Officer's proposed Redistricting Plans A-2, A-2.1, B-2, C-A, and C-B and proposed Redistricting Plans submitted by community organizations.

2. Direct the Chief Administrative Officer to return to the Board of Supervisors with final modifications, if any, to a final plan for the adjustment of Supervisorial District Boundaries.

3. Direct County Counsel to prepare the Redistricting Ordinance for introduction at the September 17, 1991 Board of Supervisors meeting.

There are presented to the Board the following documents:

Letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745399, from Lee B. Thibadeau, Mayor of the City of San Marcos, requesting, on behalf of the San Marcos City Council, that the cities of Escondido, San Marcos, Vista, and possibly Oceanside, stay within District 5.

Letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745398, from Chris Heiserman, Coordinator, Spring Valley Incorporation Coalition, requesting that the Board oppose any redistricting map which removes portions of Spring Valley from District 2.

Letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 746018, from Marilyn Lassman, President, South County Economic Development Council, making suggestions regarding South County boundaries.

Memo and proposed redistricting plan, Board of Supervisors Document No. 746084, from the Chicano Federation.

Letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 746085, from Ted A. Jackman, Harborlite Corporation, recommending support for Plan B-1, linking Escondido with the other 78 corridor cities.

Letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 746086, from Willard M. Edwards and O. Christina Edwards, expressing appreciation for the County's public participation workshops on redistricting.

The Deputy Chief Administrative Officer analyzes the rationale applied in preparing the Chief Administrative Officer's proposed Redistricting Plans now before the Board. She states that there is pending litigation before the United States Supreme Court and the United States District Court regarding the Census Bureau undercount, but recommends that the Board comply with the schedule drawn by County Counsel for introduction of the Redistricting Ordinance on September 17, 1991, and adoption on October 1, 1991. She states that if there should be a change due to a Court decision, staff will advise the Board how to proceed in compliance with State law.

Three persons speak in favor of Maps proposed by the Chief Administrative Officer. Joe Rizza, representing the Mayor of Coronado, expresses satisfaction with Maps A-2.1 and C-A, either one of which would keep Coronado in the First District. Jack Shelver, City Manager, City of Lemon Grove, expresses that City's wish to remain in District Two, and states that Map C-A represents their interests. Bob Divine, of the Spring Valley Chamber of Commerce, indicates support for Map C-A, and opposes any Map which would divide Spring Valley in half or separate it from the rest of East County.

The following persons address the Board to either express support for Maps submitted by the organization they represent, or make requests or comments relevant to proposed redistricting boundaries:

Clarence McCoy, San Diego Black Political Action Committee
Mark Montijo, Jamul Dulzura Community Planning Group
Roger Winchester
Mateo R. Camarillo, Citizens Advisory Committee on Redistricting
Greg Akili, African American Organizing Union
Kathleen E. Harmon, San Diego Black Political Action Committee
Walter Kudumu
Thomas P. Pocklington, President, Sweetwater Valley Civic Association
Al Ducheny, Harborview Community Council
Paul Silva-Martinez, Chair, Camino Real Group
Michael Aguirre, legal counsel for the Chicano Federation

Persons representing the San Diego Black Political Action Committee and the African American Organizing Union urge the Board's support for their proposed Map, which creates two districts with a majority of people of color. A coalition Map, submitted by a union of the Harborview Community Council, the Camino Real Group, and representatives of Asian American and African American communities, is reported by its backers to be attentive to City/County boundaries and communities of interest, while meeting all requirements of the Voting Rights Act. Requests are made to reject any Maps which would subdivide the country town of Jamul, and any which would divide Spring Valley in half or separate it from the rest of East County. A request is made to retain the Sweetwater Valley community as part of District One. A concern is expressed that orientation guidelines did not address the needs of citizens who were participating in the redistricting process, and support is voiced for Supervisor Golding's recent proposal concerning the need for specialists to assist in the redistricting operation.

Michael Aguirre, legal counsel to the Chicano Federation, refers to a decision of Judge Rhodes, and states that the only way to satisfy requirements of the Voting Rights Act is to organize a District which maximizes the number of voting-age Latino Americans and African Americans within one District.

The following persons submit requests to speak but do not orally address the Board:

Fahari Jeffers
Ken Msemasi
Frank Jordan, San Diego Branch, National Association for the Advancement of Colored People
Marilyn Lassman, President, South County Economic Development Council
Gil Ontai, Asian Business Association; Asian-American Political Coalition; Camino Real Group; Harborview Community Council
Merle Osterhaus, Clairemont Mesa Planning Group
Doug Perkins, Executive Director, South County Economic Development Council
Robert Tambuzi, Harborview Community Council
Jacqueline Winterer

Discussion focuses on the requirements of the Voting Rights Act. The Deputy County Counsel advises that the one person/one vote rule pertaining to total population in each District is a Constitutional requirement and the Voting Rights Act is a statutory requirement. He explains that once the one person/one vote rule is sustained, boundaries can then be adjusted in

accordance with the Voting Rights Act. He assures the Board that staff has evaluated all Maps--those of staff and those of the public--from a Voting Rights Act perspective.

ON MOTION of Supervisor Golding, seconded by Supervisor Bailey, the Board of Supervisors requests County Counsel to provide the Board with a copy of Judge Rhodes decision regarding the Voting Rights Act and an analysis of the Brownsville case; requests CAO to bring back for discussion Maps showing boundary lines between the Southwestern College District and the Grossmont College District, and lines defining the First and Second Districts relevant to the reservoir; requests that Harmony Grove and Elfin Forest be placed in the Fifth District as shown on Maps C-B and A-2.1; and continues the matter of Supervisorial Redistricting After the 1990 Census to Monday, September 16, 1991, at 10:00 a.m. time certain, to allow time for the Chief Administrative Officer to prepare the Ordinance for adoption on Tuesday, September 17, 1991.

Roll call on the foregoing motion results in the following vote:

AYES: Supervisors Bilbray, Bailey, Golding, Williams, MacDonald
NOES: Supervisors None
ABSENT: Supervisors None

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
County of San Diego)

I, THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Diego, State of California, hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy with the original order adopted by said Board at a regular meeting thereof held September 10, 1991 (80), by the vote herein stated, which original order is now on file in my office; that the same contains a full, true and correct transcript therefrom and of the whole thereof.

Witness my hand and the seal of said Board of Supervisors, this 16th day of October, 1991.

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By Esther C. Ryan
Esther C. Ryan, Deputy

No. 80
9/10/91
mdb

No. 60

There is presented to the Board a letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745071, from the Chief Administrative Officer concerning Supervisorial Redistricting After 1990 Census, and recommending that the Board:

Receive the Chief Administrative Officer's Proposed Redistricting Plans A and B for Board consideration.

Provide direction to the Chief Administrative Officer for any refinement or modifications of these plans or other redistricting plans submitted to your Board by interested organizations and individuals, leading to final Board adoption of a redistricting Ordinance no later than October 1, 1991.

A Deputy Chief Administrative Officer orally reviews background information as outlined in the staff report, with the aid of proposed Redistricting Plans A and B.

Don Stillwell addresses the Board in favor of the recommendations.

The following individuals address the Board in opposition to the recommendations: Greg Akili, representing the African American Organizing Union and the San Diego Black Political Action Committee, submits a letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745068, from the Black Political Action Committee, urging the Board to continue their research and study on the Redistricting process; and Chris Heiserman, representing the Spring Valley Incorporation Coalition, making the following points:

Chris Heiserman, representing the Spring Valley Incorporation Coalition, addresses the Board and states that the residents of Spring Valley are opposed to Plan A-1 because their community should be included in District 2.

Greg Akili, representing the African American Organizing Union and the San Diego Black Political Action Committee, submits a letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745068, urging the Board to continue their research and study on the Redistricting process; and states that Plan A-1 is incomplete, and Plan B is unbalanced and unfair.

It is requested that hearing on this matter remain open for further public comment.

During discussion, the following comments are made:

Further study should be considered regarding the relationship between Loma Portal and Ocean Beach.

There is concern that all of Proctor Valley is being included in District 2, when it is obvious that the majority of Proctor Valley out of the Jamul Planning Area is oriented to the west and not to the northeast.

There is concern that the extreme eastern portion of the Otay property will be oriented to Interstate 94, and that the remaining property oriented to the west is basically an area that follows west of the Dailey property. It is suggested that the district lines for this property be drawn between the second and first districts.

It is requested that a map be prepared showing the current boundary lines in District 2.

It is suggested that the Chief Administrative Officer consider the effects of placing back into District 4, portions of the City of San Diego west of what is currently being shown, the Paradise Hills area over to the City of National City, and the reduction of a percentage of Spring Valley to a minimum, and to see how it will compute in terms of ethnic balance. It is also suggested that the Chief Administrative Officer consider maintaining Spring Valley in District 2.

It is requested that a map be developed showing boundary lines for District 3 up the coast, with the arrangement that is already on Plan A, and a B-1 proposal with the central area on the A proposal.

It is noted that staff was unable to maintain the Clairemont community in the A-1 map.

The public is urged to submit their input as soon as possible.

It is suggested that Harmony Grove and Elfin Forest remain in the same district, whichever it is, because of a community of interest.

In terms of the effects of the Chicano Federation's maps on the redistricting process, it is indicated that the maps increase the Caucasian population in District 4, and decrease the minority population substantially in said district.

It is also requested that staff take a look at the Escondido Elementary School District boundary lines to see if they could be incorporated into one supervisorial district.

It is further requested that the Chief Administrative Officer provide clarification on the boundary district lines for Carlsbad Unified School District.

It is suggested that the Chief Administrative Officer meet with the various groups submitting proposals to discuss areas of concern on the redistricting maps, and return to the Board on September 10, 1991.

It is suggested that the Chief Administrative Officer prepare maps for the Board's consideration which incorporate comments made by the Supervisors.

ON MOTION of Supervisor MacDonald, seconded by Supervisor Bailey, the Board of Supervisors directs the Chief Administrative Officer to prepare maps for the Board's consideration which incorporate comments from the Supervisors; meet with the various groups which have submitted map proposals to discuss areas of concern on the proposed maps; and to return to the Board on September 10, 1991.

Roll call on the foregoing motion results in the following vote:

AYES: Supervisors Bilbray, Bailey, Golding, Williams, and MacDonald
NOES: Supervisors None
ABSENT: Supervisors None

- - -

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
County of San Diego)

I, THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Diego, State of California, hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy with the original order adopted by said Board at a regular meeting thereof held August 6, 1991 (60), by the vote herein stated, which original order is now on file in my office; that the same contains a full, true and correct transcript therefrom and of the whole thereof.

Witness my hand and the seal of said Board of Supervisors, this 16th day of October, 1991.

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By Esther C. Ryan
Esther C. Ryan, Deputy

No. 1

There is presented to the Board a letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 744436, from the Chief Administrative Officer, concerning Supervisorial Redistricting after 1990 census, recommending that the Board:

1. Receive the Chief Administrative Officer's Proposed Redistricting Plans A and B for Board consideration; and

2. Provide direction to the Chief Administrative Officer for any refinement or modifications of these plans or other Redistricting Plans submitted to your Board by interested organizations and individuals. Leading to final Board adoption of a Redistricting Ordinance no later than October 1, 1991.

There is presented to the Board by the Chief Administrative Officer the following Maps:

Board of Supervisors Document No. 744436-A, Redistricting Plan A

Board of Supervisors Document No. 744436-B, Redistricting Plan B

There is presented to the Board a binder entitled, Correspondence Received on Supervisorial Redistricting, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745989, which includes various letters, maps and documents addressed to each individual District Supervisor, as well as other documents.

There is also presented to the Board a letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745993, from the Chief Administrative Officer, concerning Alternative Redistricting Maps submitted by: (1) Asian Business Association, Asian American Political Coalition, Associated Asian American Leaders; (2) Lifeline Community Services of North San Diego County; (3) Chicano Federation; (4) Camino Real Group; (5) Harborview Community Council; (6) Chris Heiserman; (7) City of Carlsbad; and (8) Bruce Graves.

There is also presented to the Board a letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745992, from the Chief Administrative Officer, correcting the July 31, 1991 Redistricting Board Letter of July 31, 1991 as to population changes.

There is also presented to the Board a letter, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745991, from Art Madrid, Mayor, City of La Mesa, urging the Board to reconsider removing the City of Lemon Grove from the Second District.

There is on file a packet of letters, Board of Supervisors Document No. 745990, from Lew Gary, President, Rancho Bernardo Community Council, Inc.; Caryl W. Krueger, Secretary, Hidden Meadows Community Sponsor Group; D. Duncan; and Joyce Bennett, concerning Redistricting Supervisorial Boundaries.

The Chief Administrative Officer orally reviews the recommendations and comments presented in the staff report.

In addition to the 18 Speaker Slips already accepted, the Chairman announces that additional Speaker Slips may now be submitted. There being no indication that additional speakers are present, the Chairman announces the meeting will continue.

A Deputy Chief Administrative Officer discusses the legal factors that were used in drawing the proposed Redistricting Maps, reviewing each of the Maps as set out in staff report.

Mary Herron, Mayor of the City of Coronado, addresses the Board, stating the City of Coronado is in support of Maps A and B, in which Coronado would remain in District 1.

James V. Dorman, Mayor of the City of Lemon Grove, addresses the Board, stating there is community of interest in the East County that should be preserved, and therefore, the City of Lemon Grove is in support of Map B, which leaves the City of Lemon Grove in the Second Supervisorial District.

Joe Rizza, a resident of Coronado, addresses the Board in favor of Map A.

Richard Sewall, a resident of Coronado, addresses the Board, stating he is in support of the comments and opinions of Mayor Herron and Joe Rizza, and reiterates the importance to them in maintaining an integrity of the Bay communities and the Port District.

Jack Moore, representing the Chamber of Commerce of Lemon Grove, addresses the Board in support of comments by Mayor Dorman. He states the Chamber of Commerce is in favor of remaining in District 2 and supports Map B.

Mark Montijo, Chairman of the Jamul-Dulzura Planning Group, addresses the Board in favor of remaining in District 2, and supports Map B with the exception that Highway 94 not be the dividing boundary.

Daniel E. Eaton, Secretary of the Earl B. Gilliam Bar Association, the official organization of African-American attorneys in San Diego County, addresses the Board in favor of Map A. He states his organization would favor Map A over Map B, because it creates two majority/minority Districts and ensures that African-American representation is not diluted.

Al Ducheney, Chairman of the Harborview Community Council, addresses the Board in favor of Map B. He states he is against putting all minorities in one or two Districts. He suggests that District 4 be extended north and east to include many of the Asian population and that Point Loma and Coronado be connected to a coastal district. He states there is a commonality of interest between Coronado, Pacific Beach and Point Loma.

Linda Woods, a resident of Escondido and Trustee of the Escondido Elementary School Board, addresses the Board, requesting that the Board include all of Escondido and its unincorporated areas in one District, North County District 5. She states it would preserve a sense of continuity in community in the town and schools.

Jay A. Haron, Member of the Jamul-Dulzura Subregional Planning Group, addresses the Board, urging the Board to approve Echo Valley remaining in District 2 with the rest of Jamul. He states the Jamul-Dulzura Regional Planning Group should be consulted and involved in the planning and approval process for the Baldwin Project.

Chris Heiserman, President, San Miguel Consolidated Fire District Board, addresses the Board and speaks to the East County orientation with the Fire Districts throughout the Hartland area. He describes Emergency Services involving Lemon Grove, Spring Valley and all the East County Cities and unincorporated communities. He states that involving Spring Valley in the Fourth District rather than Lemon Grove would provide representation for the unincorporated areas involved in the Fourth District.

Gil Ontai, President, Asian Business Association, addresses the Board and speaks to Map E03. He states that consideration was given to the Asian, African-American District, and urges the Board to consider this Map as a viable opportunity for a second majority/minority District.

Eileen Histen, President, Ocean Beach Town Council, addresses the Board requesting that Ocean Beach be left in District One. She states that Ocean Beach would like to be in the same District as Point Loma.

Roger Winchester, resident of Jamul, addresses the Board regarding his correspondence to the Board which is incorporated in the Manual of Correspondence Received. He makes various suggestions concerning the division of the unincorporated areas of the County.

Ibrahim Naeem, President San Diego Urban League, addresses the Board in support of Map A, and concurs with remarks made by Daniel Eaton. He states that while he supports Map A, at this point, after discussion, he may respond to the Board differently in writing.

Randy Jones, President, Earl B. Gilliam Bar Association, addresses the Board in support of Map A, with the variations noted by Mr. Eaton. He states his group would support Map A, with the variations that Mr. Eaton spoke about. He states this issue should not become a battle between minority groups, and urges the Board to take a strong look at the maps to do whatever it takes to ensure that there is meaningful access to the political process.

Jess D. Haro, Member, Board of Directors of the Chicano Federation of San Diego County, addresses the Board and states that at the direction of their Attorney, he is giving the Board formal notice of their belief that the Board of Supervisors is about to violate the United States Voting Rights Act, and reads into the record the formal statement. At the request of the Chairman, Mr. Haro states he will submit a copy of his statement to County Counsel this date.

Eugene J. Sprofera, resident of La Mesa, addresses the Board concerning the East County area, requesting that it be left in its present District due to the development occurring there.

During discussion, the following points were made:

- Support will be given to whatever plan that seems to be best for community of interest, ethnicity, and in accordance with the law.

- It is stated that community interest areas, Clairemont and Tierrasanta, do not wish to be divided and it is suggested that staff investigate alternatives.

- It is also noted that the I-5 corridor and the San Dieguito areas have a very strong community of interest, such as transportation and open space preservation. It is also suggested that staff investigate and provide figures regarding that portion remaining in District 3 and the boundary going up the coast as opposed to going inland.

- It is noted that Map A preserves two majority/minority Districts. It is suggested that staff investigate other alternatives due to the fact Lemon Grove is considered East County and does not have a community of interest with San Diego and with District 4.

- It is stated that when drawing the lines, consideration should be given regarding how they will affect the services and the resources that the community depends on, as this will give the people the chance of equal access to the resources that government has made available to general public.

There being no motion and no objection, the Board orders action held in abeyance until August 6, 1991, on the matter of Supervisorial Redistricting After 1990 Census.

- - -