[MS-0398.034.004.006]

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

AGENDA ITEM

Jeanne Slack ASOW

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
BRIAN P. BILBRAY FIRST DISTRICT
GEORGE F. BAILEY SECOND DISTRICT
SUSAN GOLDING THIRD DISTRICT
LEON L. WILLIAMS FOURTH DISTRICT
JOHN MACDONALD FIFTH DISTRICT

DATE: April 23, 1991

TO: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

SUBJECT: Establishment of Regional Child Care and Development Planning Council

RECEIVED
APR 25 1991
Ans'd. JLS

SUMMARY:

Issue

Should the Board of Supervisors in cooperation with the San Diego County Office of Education, establish a Regional Child Care and Development Planning Council for the purpose of acquiring Federal child care funds for San Diego County?

Recommendation
SUPERVISOR WILLIAMS:

1. Direct the Chief Administrative Officer to return to the Board on May 7, 1991 with a plan for the Board's consideration to establish a Regional Child Care and Development Planning Council.

2. Direct the CAO to consult with the San Diego County Office of Education, Commission on Children and Youth's Child Care and Development Committee, and County Supervisors Association of California's Child Care Sub-Committee in developing a plan to establish a Regional Child Care and Development Planning Council.

3. Direct the CAO to return to the Board on May 7, 1991 with an analysis of AB 2141 (Speir).

Advisory Statement
N/A

Revising Recommendations 1 and 3 to change date to 5/21/91, ON MOTION of Supervisor Williams, seconded by Supervisor Bailey, the Board of Supervisors takes action as recommended; directs CAO to consider utilizing current Commissions or combining several Commissions to establish Child Care Development Planning Council; and directs CAO to report with best organizational structure to maximize chances of receipt of Grant Funds from State, by following vote:
AYES: Bilbray, Bailey, Golding, Williams, MacDonald

APR 23 1991

No. 4

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA
Clerk of the Board
of Supervisors
By Mary D. Ballard
Deputy

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AGENDA ITEM
INFORMATION SHEET

SUBJECT: ESTABLISHMENT OF REGIONAL CHILD CARE AND DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL

SUPV. DISTRICT: ALL

COUNTY COUNSEL APPROVAL: Form and Legality ( )Yes ( )N/A
( )Standard Form ( )Ordinance ( )Resolution

AUDITOR APPROVAL: ( )N/A ( )Yes 4 VOTES: ( )Yes ( )No

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REVIEW: ( )Yes ( )No

CONTRACT REVIEW PANEL: ( )Approved _____
( )N/A

CONTRACT NUMBER(S):

PREVIOUS RELEVANT BOARD ACTION:

BOARD POLICIES APPLICABLE:

CITIZEN COMMITTEE STATEMENT:

POLICY B-51 STATEMENT OF SUPPORT:

CONCURRENCES:

ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT: Fourth Supervisorial District

CONTACT PERSON: Jimmie L. Slack 531-5865 A500

April 23, 1991

_____ _____
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER MEETING DATE

SUBJECT: ESTABLISHMENT OF REGIONAL CHILD CARE AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING COUNCIL

Fiscal Impact

None

BACKGROUND

1990 Federal child Care legislation established two new block grant programs (please see attachment A):

- o A Child Care and Development Block Grant to help pay for child care expenses for low-income families and to improve the quality of child care services; and

- o a child care entitlement program for working families subject to Title IV of the Social Security Act JOBS Program.

California will receive approximately $110 million of child care block grant funds for fiscal year 91-92. Funds will be allocated to counties based on a formula which takes into account population, AFDC population, and the degree to which state and federal funds are currently meeting the child care needs of low income families. Preliminary research by my office indicates that San Diego county would receive approximately $4-5 million for fiscal year 91-92.

AB 2141, the proposed implementation bill for receipt and allocation of federal child care funds, would require County Boards of Supervisors and County Departments of Education to cooperate in the establishment of a local child care and development planning council. Furthermore the bill would require that the planning councils have the opportunity to establish needs and priorities for the distribution of these new federal child care funds within their counties.

The State Departments of Education and Social Services in conjunction with other entities, including Governor Wilson's office and CSAC are currently working on various administrative matters relating to receipt and subsequent allocation of the federal child care funds e.g. which state agency will administer the funds.

SUBJECT: ESTABLISHMENT OF REGIONAL CHILD CARE AND DEVELOPMENT PLANNING COUNCIL

BACKGROUND (cont'd):

Even though many important administrative matters are unresolved, it is my understanding that most of AB 2141 will be implemented before it is signed into law. This is due to the fact that federal child care funds will be released by September, 1991 and the State moving expeditiously to acquire and allocate them.

CSAC is alerting all counties to at least have planning councils and plans for allocating new federal child care funds ready for submission to the State by July 29, 1991. Therefore, to prevent plans to allocate these funds to San Diego county being developed solely by the State and to ensure that San Diego county receives its fair share of funds, I am requesting your support of the aforementioned recommendations.

Respectfully Submitted,

LEON L. WILLIAMS
Supervisor
Fourth District

CHILD CARE AT A GLANCE

	Block Grant	Entitlement (under JOBS)
Funds	$732 million in budget authority for FY 1991	$300 million/year permanent authorization
Effective Date	Appropriations available 9/7/91	Immediately available
Federal Agency	Office of Human Development Services, HHS	Family Support Administration, HHS
State Agency	Lead agency chosen by governor	Agency that administers AFDC
Eligible Families	(1) income 75% (or less) of state median income (2) parents work, are in training, or attend educational program (3) child under 13 years old	State determines as follows: (1) not on AFDC (2) need childcare to work (3) at risk of becoming dependent on AFDC
Use of Funds	75% for child services; 18.75% to increase availability of early childhood development and before and after school services; 5% quality; 1.25% either for quality or availability.	Child care available to eligible families; $50 million for licensing, registration and training (preexisting grant in JOBS).
State Match	None	At FMAP rate
Carry Over	Permitted	Permitted
Maintenance of Effort	Funds must not supplant current state spending.	Funds must not supplant current state spending.
Administration	Costs covered within grant (no earmark)	Funded at FMAP rate
Eligible Providers	Center-based, group home, family, or other provider that is licensed, regulated or registered under state law, and satisfies applicable state and local requirements; or, someone who provides child care only to his or her grandchild, niece or nephew.	Non-relative child care providers receiving funds must be licensed, regulated or registered by the state or locality in which care is provided.
Vouchers	State program must be in effect by Oct. 1992.	Can be used.
Standards	State must certify that state or local law establishes minimum health and safety requirements. Providers must comply with applicable licensing and regulatory requirements. Providers not required to be licensed or regulated (sectarian providers) must be registered.	All applicable standards of state and local law. Standards set under the block grant will apply. Non-relative providers must be licensed, regulated or registered.

Note: Regulations to be issued in 1991.