[MS-0087.001.007.035]

CURE

SPECIAL CURE MEETING 10/25/73

Dir. Present: Crane, Crowell, Emerson, Gilloon, Halyburton, McGuire, Oliver, Pazos, Swift.
Dir. Absent: Collis, Franklin, Kelley, McKern, Regin, Symington.
Others Present: Gavin, Lloyd, Strauss, Vickers.

The meeting was called to order by Pres. Swift to discuss the issue of whether CURE should be a plaintiff in two suits against the San Diego Unified School District.

The first suit would be to demand that the SDUSD apply for the maximum HEW funds available for desegregation of the schools. There was lengthy discussion as to the wisdom of a suit as against further attempts at persuasion of the School Board. The question of whether CURE could be sued in a countersuit was raised. Atty. Gavin thought this possible but not probable. The local ACLU Board has agreed to pay costs of the suit. It was MS that CURE be a plaintiff in the case with the proviso that Shuf Swift and Jane Emerson would go over the final draft of the suit before final commitment by CURE. The motion Passed 5-3 with Crane, McGuire, and Pazos voting "No" and Emerson abstaining.

The second suit deals with the location of pre-Field Act school replacements. After considerable discussion it was MS that CURE join with the League of Women Voters if the LWV also agrees to ask for a restraining order that pre-Field Act money not be allocated unless a feasible plan for desegregation of the San Diego City Schools accompanies such funds. The same proviso was included in the motion that CURE's final agreement to the suit would be contingent on approval of the final draft by Mrs. Emerson and Mr. Swift. This motion Passed 7-1 with Crane voting "No" and Pazos abstaining.

It was the consensus of the group that all possible legal ramifications should be pointed out to individuals before they agreed to become plaintiffs in the suits.

Mr. Pazos asked for and received unanimous approval to pay outstanding bills.

Respectfully submitted,

Virginia Gilloon

Virginia Gilloon
Secretary