> Calculus for the Life Sciences I Lecture Notes – Discrete Malthusian Growth

### Joseph M. Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu)

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Dynamical Systems Group Computational Sciences Research Center San Diego State University San Diego, CA 92182-7720

 $http://www-rohan.sdsu.edu/\sim jmahaffy$ 

Spring 2013

-(1/41)

# Outline



Joseph M. Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu)

-(2/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

## United States Census

### **United States Census**

• Constitution requires census every 10 years

SDS Lecture totes - Discrete Watchistan Growt - (3/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# United States Census

### **United States Census**

- Constitution requires census every 10 years
- Census used for budgeting federal payments and representation in Congress

= (3/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# United States Census

### United States Census

- Constitution requires census every 10 years
- Census used for budgeting federal payments and representation in Congress

-(3/41)

• Process can be politically charged

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# United States Census

### United States Census

- Constitution requires census every 10 years
- Census used for budgeting federal payments and representation in Congress
- Process can be politically charged
- Accurately predicting demographic data are important for planning communities in the future

=(3/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# United States Census

### United States Census

- Constitution requires census every 10 years
- Census used for budgeting federal payments and representation in Congress
- Process can be politically charged
- Accurately predicting demographic data are important for planning communities in the future
- Calculations for the future populations uses sophisticated mathematical models

=(3/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# United States Census

### United States Census

- Constitution requires census every 10 years
- Census used for budgeting federal payments and representation in Congress
- Process can be politically charged
- Accurately predicting demographic data are important for planning communities in the future
- Calculations for the future populations uses sophisticated mathematical models

-(3/41)

• Models are constantly improved and revised

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling

# Census Data

### **Census Data**

1790	3,929,214	1870	39,818,449	1950	$150,\!697,\!361$
1800	5,308,483	1880	50,189,209	1960	$179,\!323,\!175$
1810	7,239,881	1890	62,947,714	1970	$203,\!302,\!031$
1820	$9,\!638,\!453$	1900	$76,\!212,\!168$	1980	$226,\!545,\!805$
1830	12,866,020	1910	92,228,496	1990	248,709,873
1840	17,069,453	1920	$106,\!021,\!537$	2000	281,421,906
1850	23,191,876	1930	122,775,046	2010	308,745,538
1860	31,443,321	1940	$132,\!164,\!569$		

- (4/41)

Joseph M. Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu)

Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

・ロト ・ 何ト ・ ヨト

505

4 戸下

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

< □ > < A >

= (5/41)

# Growth Rate of U. S.

### Growth Rate in Early U.S.

The growth rate for the decade of 1790-1800

 $\frac{\text{Population in 1800}}{\text{Population in 1790}} = \frac{5,308,483}{3,292,214} = 1.351$ 

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Modeling U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Growth Rate of U. S.

### Growth Rate in Early U.S.

The growth rate for the decade of 1790-1800

 $\frac{\text{Population in 1800}}{\text{Population in 1790}} = \frac{5,308,483}{3,292,214} = 1.351$ 

The growth rate for the decade of 1800-1810

 $\frac{\text{Population in 1810}}{\text{Population in 1800}} = \frac{7,239,881}{5,308,483} = 1.364$ 

=(5/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Growth Rate of U. S.

### Growth Rate in Early U.S.

The growth rate for the decade of 1790-1800

 $\frac{\text{Population in 1800}}{\text{Population in 1790}} = \frac{5,308,483}{3,292,214} = 1.351$ 

The growth rate for the decade of 1800-1810

 $\frac{\text{Population in 1810}}{\text{Population in 1800}} = \frac{7,239,881}{5,308,483} = 1.364$ 

The growth rate for the decade of 1810-1820

 $\frac{\text{Population in 1820}}{\text{Population in 1810}} = \frac{9,638,453}{7,239,881} = 1.331$ 

-(5/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

Image: A mage: A ma

-(6/41)

# Growth Rate of U. S.

- The growth rates for the decades following 1790, 1800, and 1810 are 35.1%, 36.4%, and 33.1%
- The average is 34.9% per decade

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Growth Rate of U. S.

• The growth rates for the decades following 1790, 1800, and 1810 are 35.1%, 36.4%, and 33.1%

-(6/41)

- The average is 34.9% per decade
- This growth rate remains almost constant until 1860

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Growth Rate of U. S.

• The growth rates for the decades following 1790, 1800, and 1810 are 35.1%, 36.4%, and 33.1%

= (6/41)

- The average is 34.9% per decade
- This growth rate remains almost constant until 1860
- Suggests a constant growth rate model

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling

Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model

### Malthusian Growth Model

SDSU イロトイクトイヨトイヨト 夏 今へで Lecrute Totes - Discrete Watchustan Growth — (7/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model

### Malthusian Growth Model

 $\bullet$  Simplest growth model uses a constant rate of growth, r



Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model

### Malthusian Growth Model

 $\bullet$  Simplest growth model uses a constant rate of growth, r

=(7/41)

• Start with the population in 1790,  $P_0$ 

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model

### Malthusian Growth Model

- $\bullet$  Simplest growth model uses a constant rate of growth, r
- Start with the population in 1790,  $P_0$
- Population in the next decade is current population plus the population times the average growth rate

$$P_{n+1} = P_n + rP_n = (1+r)P_n$$

= (7/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model

### Malthusian Growth Model

- $\bullet$  Simplest growth model uses a constant rate of growth, r
- Start with the population in 1790,  $P_0$
- Population in the next decade is current population plus the population times the average growth rate

$$P_{n+1} = P_n + rP_n = (1+r)P_n$$

=(7/41)

• Sequence of predicted populations based solely on population from preceding population

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model for U. S. Population (early years)



#### Population of the United States Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest

Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model for U. S. Population (early years)

Let  $P_0 = 3,929,214$  (population 1790) and take r = 0.349



Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model

Malthusian Growth Model for U. S. Population (early years)

Let  $P_0 = 3,929,214$  (population 1790) and take r = 0.349

For 1800, model gives

 $P_1 = 1.349P_0 = 5,300,510$ 

Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model

Malthusian Growth Model for U. S. Population (early years) Let  $R_{-} = 2,020,214$  (nonpulation 1700) and take n = 0.240

Let  $P_0 = 3,929,214$  (population 1790) and take r = 0.349

For 1800, model gives

$$P_1 = 1.349P_0 = 5,300,510$$

For 1810, model gives

$$P_2 = 1.349P_1 = 7,150,388$$

-(8/41)

Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model

Malthusian Growth Model for U. S. Population (early years) Let B = 2,020,214 (nonvelation 1700) and take n = 0.240

Let  $P_0 = 3,929,214$  (population 1790) and take r = 0.349

For 1800, model gives

$$P_1 = 1.349P_0 = 5,300,510$$

For 1810, model gives

$$P_2 = 1.349P_1 = 7,150,388$$

For 1820, model gives

$$P_3 = 1.349P_2 = 9,645,873$$

-(8/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

Image: A matrix

-(9/41)

# Malthusian Growth Model

### Table for U. S. Population (early years)

Year	Census	Model $P_{n+1} = 1.349P_n$	% Error
1790	3,929,214	3,929,214	
1800	5,308,483	5,300,510	-0.15
1810	7,239,881	7,150,388	-1.24
1820	9,638,453	9,645,873	0.08
1830	12,866,020	13,012,282	1.14
1840	17,069,453	17,553,569	2.84
1850	23, 191, 876	23,679,765	2.10
1860	31, 433, 321	31,944,002	1.59
1870	39,818,449	43,092,459	8.22

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Malthusian Growth Model

# Graph of the Malthusian Growth Model and Census Data for the U. S.



Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Analysis of Growth Model

### Early constant growth rate of about 35%

• Error remains small until 1870 because of the fairly constant rate of growth (Agrarian society)



Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Analysis of Growth Model

### Early constant growth rate of about 35%

- Error remains small until 1870 because of the fairly constant rate of growth (Agrarian society)
- Most predicted populations are a little high, suggesting the  $19^{th}$  century growth rate declined

=(11/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Analysis of Growth Model

### Early constant growth rate of about 35%

- Error remains small until 1870 because of the fairly constant rate of growth (Agrarian society)
- Most predicted populations are a little high, suggesting the  $19^{th}$  century growth rate declined

=(11/41)

• Civil War created dramatic decline in the growth rate

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Analysis of Growth Model

### Early constant growth rate of about 35%

- Error remains small until 1870 because of the fairly constant rate of growth (Agrarian society)
- Most predicted populations are a little high, suggesting the  $19^{th}$  century growth rate declined
- Civil War created dramatic decline in the growth rate
- More significantly, population demographics changed as the U. S. moved into the industrial revolution away from agriculture

=(11/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

-(12/41)

**B** 5

# Changing Growth Rate

### Variation in Growth Rate

• Assume this Malthusian growth model were extended



Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Changing Growth Rate

### Variation in Growth Rate

- Assume this Malthusian growth model were extended
  - In 1920, model predicts 192,365,343 (population in 1960s), which is 82% too high
  - In 1970, model predicts 859,382,645, which is 323% too high

=(12/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Changing Growth Rate

### Variation in Growth Rate

- Assume this Malthusian growth model were extended
  - $\bullet\,$  In 1920, model predicts 192,365,343 (population in 1960s), which is 82% too high
  - $\bullet\,$  In 1970, model predicts 859,382,645, which is 323% too high

=(12/41)

• Average growth rate over census history is 22.3%

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Changing Growth Rate

### Variation in Growth Rate

- Assume this Malthusian growth model were extended
  - $\bullet\,$  In 1920, model predicts 192,365,343 (population in 1960s), which is 82% too high
  - $\bullet\,$  In 1970, model predicts 859,382,645, which is 323% too high

=(12/41)

- Average growth rate over census history is 22.3%
- $\bullet$  Growth rate in 1920 is 15%, dropping to 13% in 1970

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Changing Growth Rate

### Variation in Growth Rate

- Assume this Malthusian growth model were extended
  - $\bullet\,$  In 1920, model predicts 192,365,343 (population in 1960s), which is 82% too high
  - $\bullet\,$  In 1970, model predicts 859,382,645, which is 323% too high

 $\underline{-}(12/41)$ 

- Average growth rate over census history is 22.3%
- Growth rate in 1920 is 15%, dropping to 13% in 1970
- $\bullet$  Lowest growth rate during the Great Depression of 7.2%
#### Population of the United States

Discrete Malthusian Growth Compound Interest Discrete Population Models U. S. Population Modeling Census Data Growth Rate Malthusian Growth Model

# Changing Growth Rate

#### Variation in Growth Rate

- Assume this Malthusian growth model were extended
  - $\bullet\,$  In 1920, model predicts 192,365,343 (population in 1960s), which is 82% too high
  - $\bullet\,$  In 1970, model predicts 859,382,645, which is 323% too high

 $\underline{-}(12/41)$ 

- Average growth rate over census history is 22.3%
- Growth rate in 1920 is 15%, dropping to 13% in 1970
- $\bullet\,$  Lowest growth rate during the Great Depression of 7.2%
- $\bullet\,$  Latest growth rate for U. S. is 9.7%

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

#### **Discrete** Malthusian Growth

**Discrete Malthusian Growth Model** 

$$P_{n+1} = P_n + rP_n = (1+r)P_n,$$

where r is the average growth rate



Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

### **Discrete** Malthusian Growth

**Discrete Malthusian Growth Model** 

$$P_{n+1} = P_n + rP_n = (1+r)P_n,$$

where r is the average growth rate

Next generation is proportional to the population of the current generation

-(13/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

## **Discrete** Malthusian Growth

**Discrete Malthusian Growth Model** 

$$P_{n+1} = P_n + rP_n = (1+r)P_n,$$

where r is the average growth rate

Next generation is proportional to the population of the current generation

=(13/41)

• Named for Thomas Malthus (1766-1834)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

# **Discrete** Malthusian Growth

Discrete Malthusian Growth Model

$$P_{n+1} = P_n + rP_n = (1+r)P_n,$$

where r is the average growth rate

Next generation is proportional to the population of the current generation

- Named for Thomas Malthus (1766-1834)
- Example of Discrete Dynamical system or Difference Equations

=(13/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

# **Discrete** Malthusian Growth

Discrete Malthusian Growth Model

$$P_{n+1} = P_n + rP_n = (1+r)P_n,$$

where r is the average growth rate

Next generation is proportional to the population of the current generation

- Named for Thomas Malthus (1766-1834)
- Example of Discrete Dynamical system or Difference Equations
- Population models using difference equations are common in ecological models

-(13/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

### Solution of Discrete Malthusian Growth Model

The **Malthusian growth model** is one of few easily solved discrete models



Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

### Solution of Discrete Malthusian Growth Model

The **Malthusian growth model** is one of few easily solved discrete models

 $P_1 = (1+r)P_0$ 



Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

### Solution of Discrete Malthusian Growth Model

The **Malthusian growth model** is one of few easily solved discrete models

$$P_1 = (1+r)P_0$$

$$P_2 = (1+r)P_1 = (1+r)^2 P_0$$

-(14/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

#### Solution of Discrete Malthusian Growth Model

The **Malthusian growth model** is one of few easily solved discrete models

$$P_1 = (1+r)P_0$$

$$P_2 = (1+r)P_1 = (1+r)^2 P_0$$

$$P_n = (1+r)P_{n-1} = \dots = (1+r)^n P_0$$

-(14/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

### Solution of Discrete Malthusian Growth Model

The **Malthusian growth model** is one of few easily solved discrete models

$$P_1 = (1+r)P_0$$

$$P_2 = (1+r)P_1 = (1+r)^2 P_0$$

$$P_n = (1+r)P_{n-1} = \dots = (1+r)^n P_0$$

General solution is given by

$$P_n = (1+r)^n P_0$$

=(14/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

#### Solution of Discrete Malthusian Growth Model

#### General solution of Malthusian growth model

$$P_n = (1+r)^n P_0$$

-(15/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

#### Solution of Discrete Malthusian Growth Model

#### General solution of Malthusian growth model

$$P_n = (1+r)^n P_0$$

This solution shows why **Malthusian growth** is also known as **exponential growth** 

=(15/41)



Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

#### Solution of Discrete Malthusian Growth Model

#### General solution of Malthusian growth model

 $P_n = (1+r)^n P_0$ 

This solution shows why **Malthusian growth** is also known as **exponential growth** 

The solution to the model is an exponential function with a base of 1 + r and power *n* representing the number of iterations after the initial population

=(15/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

# Example – Malthusian Growth

Suppose that a population of yeast, satisfying Malthusian growth, grows 10% in an hour. If the population begins with 100,000 yeast, then find the population at the end of 4 hours.

=(16/41)

How long does it take for this population to double?

Skip Example

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

# Example – Malthusian Growth

Suppose that a population of yeast, satisfying Malthusian growth, grows 10% in an hour. If the population begins with 100,000 yeast, then find the population at the end of 4 hours.

How long does it take for this population to double?

Skip Example

Solution: The Malthusian growth model is

 $P_{n+1} = (1+0.1)P_n, \qquad P_0 = 100,000$ 

=(16/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

# Example – Malthusian Growth

Suppose that a population of yeast, satisfying Malthusian growth, grows 10% in an hour. If the population begins with 100,000 yeast, then find the population at the end of 4 hours.

How long does it take for this population to double?

Skip Example

Solution: The Malthusian growth model is

$$P_{n+1} = (1+0.1)P_n, \qquad P_0 = 100,000$$

The general solution is

$$P_n = (1.1)^n P_0 = 100,000(1.1)^n$$

=(16/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

## Example – Malthusian Growth

Solution (cont): The population after 4 hours

 $P_4 = 100,000(1.1)^4 = 146,410$ 

-(17/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

### Example – Malthusian Growth

Solution (cont): The population after 4 hours

$$P_4 = 100,000(1.1)^4 = 146,410$$

For the solution to double

$$200,000 = 100,000(1.1)^n$$
 or  $(1.1)^n = 2$ 

-(17/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

#### Example – Malthusian Growth

Solution (cont): The population after 4 hours

$$P_4 = 100,000(1.1)^4 = 146,410$$

For the solution to double

$$200,000 = 100,000(1.1)^n$$
 or  $(1.1)^n = 2$ 

Taking logarithms

$$n\ln(1.1) = \ln(2)$$
 or  $n = \frac{\ln(2)}{\ln(1.1)} = 7.27$  hr

-(17/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

### Example – Two Populations

#### **Population Studies - Discrete Malthusian Growth**

a. One species of insect grows according to the discrete Malthusian growth model

$$H_{n+1} = 1.06H_n, \qquad H_0 = 50,000$$

where n is in weeks

Find the population at the end of the first three weeks,  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ , and  $H_3$ 

=(18/41)

Determine how long it takes for this population to double Skip Example

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

Image: A mage: A ma

#### Example – Two Populations

Solution a: The Malthusian growth model satisfies

 $H_n = (1.06)^n H_0 = 50,000(1.06)^n$ 



Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

#### Example – Two Populations

Solution a: The Malthusian growth model satisfies

$$H_n = (1.06)^n H_0 = 50,000(1.06)^n$$

It follows that

 $H_1 = 50,000(1.06) = 53,000$   $H_2 = 56,180$   $H_3 = 59,551$ 



Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

#### Example – Two Populations

Solution a: The Malthusian growth model satisfies

$$H_n = (1.06)^n H_0 = 50,000(1.06)^n$$

It follows that

 $H_1 = 50,000(1.06) = 53,000$   $H_2 = 56,180$   $H_3 = 59,551$ 

The doubling time

 $2H_0 = (1.06)^n H_0$ 

=(19/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

#### Example – Two Populations

Solution a: The Malthusian growth model satisfies

$$H_n = (1.06)^n H_0 = 50,000(1.06)^n$$

It follows that

$$H_1 = 50,000(1.06) = 53,000$$
  $H_2 = 56,180$   $H_3 = 59,551$ 

The doubling time

$$2H_0 = (1.06)^n H_0$$

With logarithms

Joseph

$$\ln(2) = n \ln(1.06) \quad \text{or} \quad n = \frac{\ln(2)}{\ln(1.06)} = 11.90 \text{ weeks}$$

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

#### Example – Two Populations

b. Another insect species starts with a smaller population, but grows more quickly

$$G_{n+1} = 1.08G_n, \qquad G_0 = 10,000$$

Find the doubling time of this population of insects

Determine how long until the populations of the two species are equal

-(20/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

#### Example – Two Populations

b. Another insect species starts with a smaller population, but grows more quickly

$$G_{n+1} = 1.08G_n, \qquad G_0 = 10,000$$

Find the doubling time of this population of insects

Determine how long until the populations of the two species are equal

Solution b: This population satisfies

$$G_n = (1.08)^n G_0 = 10,000(1.08)^n$$

=(20/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

### Example – Two Populations

b. Another insect species starts with a smaller population, but grows more quickly

$$G_{n+1} = 1.08G_n, \qquad G_0 = 10,000$$

Find the doubling time of this population of insects

Determine how long until the populations of the two species are equal

**Solution b:** This population satisfies

$$G_n = (1.08)^n G_0 = 10,000(1.08)^n$$

The doubling time satisfies

$$\ln(2) = n \ln(1.08) \quad \text{or} \quad n = \frac{\ln(2)}{\ln(1.08)} = 9.0 \text{ weeks}$$

$$(1.08) \quad \text{M. Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu)} = 0.0 \text{ weeks}$$

Joseph

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

イロト イポト イラト イラト

#### Example – Two Populations

Solution b (cont): The two populations are equal when

 $(1.08)^{n}G_{0} = (1.06)^{n}H_{0}$   $10,000(1.08)^{n} = 50,000(1.06)^{n}$   $\left(\frac{1.08}{1.06}\right)^{n} = 5$   $n\ln\left(\frac{1.08}{1.06}\right) = \ln(5)$ n = 86.1 weeks

-(21/41)

Solution of Malthusian Growth Model

• • • • • • • • • • • • • •

#### Example – Two Populations

Solution b (cont): The two populations are equal when

$$(1.08)^{n}G_{0} = (1.06)^{n}H_{0}$$
  

$$10,000(1.08)^{n} = 50,000(1.06)^{n}$$
  

$$\left(\frac{1.08}{1.06}\right)^{n} = 5$$
  

$$n\ln\left(\frac{1.08}{1.06}\right) = \ln(5)$$
  

$$n = 86.1 \text{ weeks}$$

The two populations are approximately equal after 86 weeks

-(21/41)

## Compound Interest

Compound interest problems are closely related to Malthusian growth models



# Compound Interest

Compound interest problems are closely related to Malthusian growth models

Start with an initial principal  $P_0$  and an annual interest rate of r



# Compound Interest

Compound interest problems are closely related to Malthusian growth models

Start with an **initial principal**  $P_0$  and an **annual interest** rate of r

The **principal** n years later,  $P_n$  satisfies

$$P_{n+1} = (1+r)P_n \qquad \text{given} \quad P_0$$

or

$$P_n = (1+r)^n P_0$$

=(22/41)

#### Compound Interest - k times annually

When interest is compounded k times a year, the formula for the **amount of principal**,  $P_n$ , given an **initial principal**  $P_0$ and an **annual interest rate** of r satisfies

$$P_n = \left(1 + \frac{r}{k}\right)^{kn} P_0$$

-(23/41)

where n is in years

# Example: Compound Interest

**Example:** Suppose you begin with \$2,000 to invest. Bank A offers 6.25% interest compounded annually, while Bank B offers 6% interest compounded monthly. Which of these investments gives the better return?

-(24/41)

Skip Example



# Example: Compound Interest

**Example:** Suppose you begin with \$2,000 to invest. Bank A offers 6.25% interest compounded annually, while Bank B offers 6% interest compounded monthly. Which of these investments gives the better return?

Skip Example

**Solution:** Using the model above for Bank A, we have k = 1, r = 0.0625, and  $P_0 = $2,000$ , so after a year

$$P_{1A} = (1 + 0.0625)^1 (\$2, 000) = \$2, 125$$

-(24/41)
#### Example: Compound Interest

Solution (cont): For Bank B, k = 12, r = 0.06, and  $P_0$  is also \$2,000, so after one year

$$P_{1B} = \left(1 + \frac{0.06}{12}\right)^{12} (\$2,000) = \$2,123.36$$

-(25/41)

## Example: Compound Interest

Solution (cont): For Bank B, k = 12, r = 0.06, and  $P_0$  is also \$2,000, so after one year

$$P_{1B} = \left(1 + \frac{0.06}{12}\right)^{12} (\$2,000) = \$2,123.36$$

-(25/41)

So Bank A has a slightly better return by \$1.64

Autonomous Nonautonomous

#### Annual Growth Rate

The population data for 1790 is 3,929,214, while the population data for 1800 is 5,308,483

Autonomous Nonautonomous

#### Annual Growth Rate

The population data for 1790 is 3,929,214, while the population data for 1800 is 5,308,483

This gives a decade growth rate of 35.1%



Autonomous Nonautonomou

## Annual Growth Rate

The population data for 1790 is 3,929,214, while the population data for 1800 is 5,308,483

This gives a decade growth rate of 35.1%

What is the annual growth rate?

Annual Growth Rate

Autonomous Nonautonomous

The population data for 1790 is  $3,\!929,\!214,$  while the population data for 1800 is  $5,\!308,\!483$ 

This gives a decade growth rate of 35.1%

What is the annual growth rate?

Solution: If we let n be in years, then to find the annual growth rate, we solve

$$P_{10} = (1+r)^{10} P_0$$

-(26/41)

Autonomous Nonautonomous

3.5

#### Annual Growth Rate

2

#### Solution (cont): Solve

$$5,308,483 = (1+r)^{10}3,929,214$$
$$(1+r)^{10} = 1.351$$
$$1+r = 1.351^{1/10} = 1.03054$$
$$r = 0.03054$$

 $\frac{1}{2}(27/41)$ 

Autonomous Nonautonomous

-(27/41)

-

#### Annual Growth Rate

#### Solution (cont): Solve

$$5,308,483 = (1+r)^{10}3,929,214$$
$$(1+r)^{10} = 1.351$$
$$1+r = 1.351^{1/10} = 1.03054$$
$$r = 0.03054$$

It follows that annual growth rate is r = 0.03054 or 3.054%

Autonomous Nonautonomous

#### Annual Growth Rate

#### Solution (cont): Solve

$$5,308,483 = (1+r)^{10}3,929,214$$
$$(1+r)^{10} = 1.351$$
$$1+r = 1.351^{1/10} = 1.03054$$
$$r = 0.03054$$

It follows that annual growth rate is r = 0.03054 or 3.054%Note that decade growth was 35.1%, which is more than 10 times the annual growth rate

-(27/41)

This shows the effects of compounding interest

Autonomous Nonautonomous

# Example for Population Growth

**Example** The population in the U. S. was 203.3 million in 1970 and 226.5 million in 1980

Assume that the population is growing according to the discrete Malthusian growth model and find the annual growth rate of the population during this period of time

=(28/41)

Autonomous Nonautonomous

**Example** The population in the U. S. was 203.3 million in 1970 and 226.5 million in 1980

Assume that the population is growing according to the discrete Malthusian growth model and find the annual growth rate of the population during this period of time

=(28/41)

Use this information to project the population in 1990

The actual census gives the population in 1990 to be 248.7 million, so what is the percent error between the actual population and the modeling prediction?

Skip Example

Autonomous Nonautonomous

< □ > < 同 >

-(29/41)

#### Example for Population Growth

**Solution:** Let  $P_0 = 203.3$  and  $P_{10} = 226.5$ 

Autonomous Nonautonomous

## Example for Population Growth

**Solution:** Let  $P_0 = 203.3$  and  $P_{10} = 226.5$ 

The decade growth rate satisfies:

$$\frac{P_{10}}{P_0} = \frac{226.5}{203.3} = 1.1141 = 1 + r_d$$

=(29/41)

Thus, the growth rate per decade in 1970 was 11.41%

Autonomous Nonautonomous

#### Example for Population Growth

**Solution:** Let  $P_0 = 203.3$  and  $P_{10} = 226.5$ 

The decade growth rate satisfies:

$$\frac{P_{10}}{P_0} = \frac{226.5}{203.3} = 1.1141 = 1 + r_d$$

Thus, the growth rate per decade in 1970 was 11.41%The annual growth rate satisfies:

$$203.3(1+r_a)^{10} = 226.5$$
  
(1+r\_a)^{10} = 1.1141  
1+r\_a = 1.1141^{1/10} = 1.01086

-(29/41)

Autonomous Nonautonomous

#### Example for Population Growth

**Solution:** Let  $P_0 = 203.3$  and  $P_{10} = 226.5$ 

The decade growth rate satisfies:

$$\frac{P_{10}}{P_0} = \frac{226.5}{203.3} = 1.1141 = 1 + r_d$$

Thus, the growth rate per decade in 1970 was 11.41%The annual growth rate satisfies:

$$203.3(1+r_a)^{10} = 226.5$$
  
(1+r\_a)^{10} = 1.1141  
1+r\_a = 1.1141^{1/10} = 1.01086

-(29/41)

The annual growth rate is  $r_a = 0.01086$  or 1.086%

Autonomous Nonautonomous

## Example for Population Growth

3

Solution (cont): The discrete Malthusian growth model is

 $P_n = (1.01086)^n P_0 = 203.3(1.01086)^n$ 

where n is in years



Autonomous Nonautonomous

3

## Example for Population Growth

Solution (cont): The discrete Malthusian growth model is

$$P_n = (1.01086)^n P_0 = 203.3(1.01086)^n$$

where n is in years

For n = 20 years in 1990, we obtain a population of

$$P_{20} = 203.3(1.01086)^{20} = 252.3$$
 million

=(30/41)

Autonomous Nonautonomous

## Example for Population Growth

Solution (cont): The discrete Malthusian growth model is

$$P_n = (1.01086)^n P_0 = 203.3(1.01086)^n$$

where n is in years

For n = 20 years in 1990, we obtain a population of

$$P_{20} = 203.3(1.01086)^{20} = 252.3$$
 million

The actual census is 248.7 million, so the percent error of this model is

$$100\left(\frac{252.3 - 248.7}{248.7}\right) = 1.45\%$$

=(30/41)

Autonomous Nonautonomous

-

#### **Discrete Population Models**

The general **Discrete Dynamical Population Model** (time-independent)

$$P_{n+1} = f(P_n)$$

-(31/41)

Autonomous Nonautonomous

## **Discrete Population Models**

The general **Discrete Dynamical Population Model** (time-independent)

$$P_{n+1} = f(P_n)$$

This difference equation is **Autonomous**, since the function f depends only on the population

=(31/41)

Autonomous Nonautonomous

## **Discrete Population Models**

The general **Discrete Dynamical Population Model** (time-independent)

$$P_{n+1} = f(P_n)$$

This difference equation is **Autonomous**, since the function f depends only on the population

A more general **Discrete Dynamical Population Model** with **temporal** or **time dependence** 

$$P_{n+1} = f(t_n, P_n)$$

=(31/41)

Autonomous Nonautonomous

## **Discrete Population Models**

The general **Discrete Dynamical Population Model** (time-independent)

$$P_{n+1} = f(P_n)$$

This difference equation is **Autonomous**, since the function f depends only on the population

A more general **Discrete Dynamical Population Model** with **temporal** or **time dependence** 

$$P_{n+1} = f(t_n, P_n)$$

=(31/41)

This difference equation is **Nonautonomous** 

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

-

The average growth rate for U.S. over its history

r = 0.2233



Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

The average growth rate for U.S. over its history

r = 0.2233

The best discrete Malthusian growth model is

 $P_{n+1} = 1.2233P_n$ 

=(32/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

The average growth rate for U.S. over its history

r = 0.2233

The best discrete Malthusian growth model is

 $P_{n+1} = 1.2233P_n$ 

This growth rate is too low for the early years, and too high for later years

=(32/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

## Modeling U. S. Population

A modified time dependent growth rate is found by fitting a line through the data from 1790 to 1990



Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

## Modeling U. S. Population

The best fit to the growth data from 1790 to 1990 satisfies

k(t) = 0.3744 - 0.001439 t

-(34/41)

where t is the number of years after 1790



Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

# Modeling U. S. Population

The best fit to the growth data from 1790 to 1990 satisfies

k(t) = 0.3744 - 0.001439 t

where t is the number of years after 1790

The Nonautonomous Malthusian Growth Model satisfies

$$P_{n+1} = (1 + k(t_n))P_n$$

=(34/41)

where  $t_n = 10 n$  and n is the number of decades after 1790

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

# Modeling U. S. Population

The best fit to the growth data from 1790 to 1990 satisfies

k(t) = 0.3744 - 0.001439 t

where t is the number of years after 1790

The Nonautonomous Malthusian Growth Model satisfies

$$P_{n+1} = (1 + k(t_n))P_n$$

where  $t_n = 10 n$  and n is the number of decades after 1790 The model can be written

$$P_{n+1} = (1.3744 - 0.01439\,n)P_n$$

=(34/41)

**Discrete Malthusian Growth** Varying Growth Rate

## Modeling U. S. Population

Graph of the Discrete Malthusian Growth Model and Nonautonomous Discrete Malthusian Growth Model for the U. S. Population (with both models starting)  $P_0 = 3,929,214$ 

U.S. Population

Malthusian Model 300 Nonautonomous Model Census Data 250 <sup>></sup>opulation (x10<sup>6</sup>) 200 150 100 50 50 100 150 200 Years After 1790



Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

• The constant growth rate discrete Malthusian growth model does poorly over this long period of time

-(36/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

# Modeling U. S. Population

- The constant growth rate discrete Malthusian growth model does poorly over this long period of time
- The nonautonomous discrete Malthusian growth model does quite well for complete history

-(36/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

# Modeling U. S. Population

- The constant growth rate discrete Malthusian growth model does poorly over this long period of time
- The nonautonomous discrete Malthusian growth model does quite well for complete history
  - $\bullet\,$  The average absolute percent error is only 5.1%
  - The maximum error occurs in 1950 with 11.7% error

=(36/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

# Modeling U. S. Population

- The constant growth rate discrete Malthusian growth model does poorly over this long period of time
- The nonautonomous discrete Malthusian growth model does quite well for complete history
  - $\bullet\,$  The average absolute percent error is only 5.1%
  - $\bullet\,$  The maximum error occurs in 1950 with 11.7% error

=(36/41)

• Both models over predict the 2010 census

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

# Modeling U. S. Population

- The constant growth rate discrete Malthusian growth model does poorly over this long period of time
- The nonautonomous discrete Malthusian growth model does quite well for complete history
  - $\bullet\,$  The average absolute percent error is only 5.1%
  - $\bullet\,$  The maximum error occurs in 1950 with 11.7% error
- Both models over predict the 2010 census
  - The discrete Malthusian growth model predicts a population of 331,214,433
  - The nonautonomous discrete Malthusian growth model predicts a population of 311,407,591

=(36/41)

• These produce 7.3% and 0.9% errors, respectively

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

#### Example of Nonautonomous Growth

**Example** A population of arthropods is growing in a lake that begins to receive pesticide runoff from neighboring farm fields. The resulting pollution adversely affects the rate of growth of their population.

←□▷ ←▷▷ ← 클▷ ← 클▷ — Lecture Potes - Discrete Watchusian — (37/41)
Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

## Example of Nonautonomous Growth

**Example** A population of arthropods is growing in a lake that begins to receive pesticide runoff from neighboring farm fields. The resulting pollution adversely affects the rate of growth of their population.

Suppose the nonautonomous Malthusian growth model for the arthropods is

$$A_{n+1} = (1 + k(t_n))A_n$$
  $A_0 = 200(\text{per } l^3)$ 

where n is weeks,  $k(t_n) = 0.1 - 0.02n$ , and  $A_n$  is the population density after n weeks

=(37/41)

Skip Example

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

### Example of Nonautonomous Growth

For the nonautonomous Malthusian growth model

$$A_{n+1} = (1.1 - 0.02\,n)A_n \qquad A_0 = 200$$

• Find the population at the end of the first three weeks,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ 

=(38/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

# Example of Nonautonomous Growth

For the nonautonomous Malthusian growth model

$$A_{n+1} = (1.1 - 0.02\,n)A_n \qquad A_0 = 200$$

- Find the population at the end of the first three weeks,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$
- Find the maximum population density of these arthropods and when this occurs

=(38/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

# Example of Nonautonomous Growth

For the nonautonomous Malthusian growth model

$$A_{n+1} = (1.1 - 0.02\,n)A_n \qquad A_0 = 200$$

- Find the population at the end of the first three weeks,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$
- Find the maximum population density of these arthropods and when this occurs

=(38/41)

• Determine when the lake becomes so polluted that the arthropod population dies out

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

### Example of Nonautonomous Growth

**Solution:** Unfortunately, this nonautonomous growth model does NOT have a general solution, like the Malthusian growth model above

-(39/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

#### Example of Nonautonomous Growth

**Solution:** Unfortunately, this nonautonomous growth model does NOT have a general solution, like the Malthusian growth model above

The first three weeks,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ , are found by simulation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_1 &=& (1+(0.1-0.02(0)))200 = (1.1)200 = 220, \\ A_2 &=& (1+(0.1-0.02(1)))220 = (1.08)220 = 237.6, \\ A_3 &=& (1+(0.1-0.02(2)))237.6 = (1.06)237.6 = 252.86. \end{array}$$

=(39/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

### Example of Nonautonomous Growth

**Solution:** Unfortunately, this nonautonomous growth model does NOT have a general solution, like the Malthusian growth model above

The first three weeks,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ , are found by simulation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_1 &=& (1+(0.1-0.02(0)))200 = (1.1)200 = 220, \\ A_2 &=& (1+(0.1-0.02(1)))220 = (1.08)220 = 237.6, \\ A_3 &=& (1+(0.1-0.02(2)))237.6 = (1.06)237.6 = 252.86. \end{array}$$

Finding when the maximum density occurs is easy, as it will occur when the growth rate falls to zero

=(39/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

## Example of Nonautonomous Growth

**Solution:** Unfortunately, this nonautonomous growth model does NOT have a general solution, like the Malthusian growth model above

The first three weeks,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ , are found by simulation

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_1 &=& (1+(0.1-0.02(0)))200 = (1.1)200 = 220, \\ A_2 &=& (1+(0.1-0.02(1)))220 = (1.08)220 = 237.6, \\ A_3 &=& (1+(0.1-0.02(2)))237.6 = (1.06)237.6 = 252.86. \end{array}$$

Finding when the maximum density occurs is easy, as it will occur when the growth rate falls to zero

$$k(t_n) = 0.1 - 0.02 \, n = 0$$
  
which happens at  $n_{max} = 5$ 

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

### Example of Nonautonomous Growth

Solution (cont): Since there is no general solution, knowing when the maximum occurs only tells how far we need to simulate



Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

## Example of Nonautonomous Growth

Solution (cont): Since there is no general solution, knowing when the maximum occurs only tells how far we need to simulate

Below are simulations for 10 weeks (which is easily done in Excel)

Week	Arthropods	Week	Arthropods
0	200	6	267
1	220	7	262
2	238	8	251
3	252	9	236
4	262	10	217
5	267		

= (40/41)

Joseph M. Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

#### Example of Nonautonomous Growth

Solution (cont): Theoretically, the arthropod population dies out when  $1 + k(t_n) = 0$ 

 $1.1 - 0.02 \, n = 0$  or n = 55 weeks



Joseph M. Mahaffy, (mahaffy@math.sdsu.edu)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

### Example of Nonautonomous Growth

Solution (cont): Theoretically, the arthropod population dies out when  $1 + k(t_n) = 0$ 

 $1.1 - 0.02 \, n = 0$  or n = 55 weeks

• Numerical simulations show that this population drops below 1 arthropod/ $l^3$  in only 28 weeks

=(41/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

### Example of Nonautonomous Growth

Solution (cont): Theoretically, the arthropod population dies out when  $1 + k(t_n) = 0$ 

 $1.1 - 0.02 \, n = 0$  or n = 55 weeks

• Numerical simulations show that this population drops below 1 arthropod/ $l^3$  in only 28 weeks

=(41/41)

• From week 28 to 55, the population is very small

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

### Example of Nonautonomous Growth

Solution (cont): Theoretically, the arthropod population dies out when  $1 + k(t_n) = 0$ 

 $1.1 - 0.02 \, n = 0$  or n = 55 weeks

- Numerical simulations show that this population drops below 1 arthropod/ $l^3$  in only 28 weeks
- From week 28 to 55, the population is very small
- Practically speaking, this population is extinct after the  $28^{th}$  week

=(41/41)

Discrete Malthusian Growth Varying Growth Rate

## Example of Nonautonomous Growth

Solution (cont): Theoretically, the arthropod population dies out when  $1 + k(t_n) = 0$ 

 $1.1 - 0.02 \, n = 0$  or n = 55 weeks

- Numerical simulations show that this population drops below 1 arthropod/ $l^3$  in only 28 weeks
- From week 28 to 55, the population is very small
- Practically speaking, this population is extinct after the  $28^{th}$  week
- There is some discrepancy between theoretical and numerical extinction with this more complicated model

=(41/41)