1. a. The best allometric model for the food consumption $F$ as a function of the weight $w$ is given by:

$$
F=34.601 w^{0.6577} .
$$

This is consistent with most energy generated by food being lost through the surface of an animal.
b. Below is a table with the model predictions of the food consumption and the percent error for each dog:

| Dog | Weight $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Food $(\mathrm{g})$ | Model Food | \% Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fox Terrier | 4 | 85 | 86.11 | 1.308 |
| Dachshund | 12 | 180 | 177.36 | -1.464 |
| Brittany Spaniel | 17 | 220 | 223.03 | 1.375 |
| Dalmatian | 27 | 310 | 302.34 | -2.471 |
| Labrador Retriever | 33 | 360 | 345.00 | -4.168 |
| Bloodhound | 41 | 380 | 397.94 | 4.721 |
| Great Dane | 68 | 550 | 555.05 | 0.918 |

From the error analysis, we see that there is fairly uniform percent error, so any of the dogs is equally likely to have the highest error from the model. The bloodhound shows the highest percent error, which could be due to low activity (food consumption below predicted value). The dog with the lowest percent error is the Great Dane, which could be because it is much larger than the others and has greater weight affecting the model.
c. With the model, we fill in the table below.

| Dog | Weight $(\mathrm{kg})$ | Food (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beagle | 13 | $\mathbf{1 8 6 . 9 5}$ |
| Golden Retriever | $\mathbf{3 2 . 2 8}$ | 340 |
| Rottweiler | 53 | $\mathbf{4 7 1 . 1 3}$ |
| Siberian Husky | $\mathbf{2 0 . 2 2}$ | 250 |

d. Using the power rule (or Maple), we find the derivative of the allometric model is given by:

$$
F^{\prime}(w)=22.757 w^{-0.3423}
$$

From this formula, it easily follows that:

$$
F^{\prime}(20)=22.757\left(20^{-0.3423}\right)=8.1616 \quad \text { and } \quad F^{\prime}(40)=6.4377 .
$$

The derivative shows that with increasing size, the dogs utilize energy more efficiently. This is because larger dogs have a smaller surface area to volume ratio, and much of mammalian energy is consumed creating heat, which is lost through the surface.
2. a. The model given by

$$
c(t)=c_{\infty}\left(1-A e^{-b t}\right),
$$

has the best fitting parameters $c_{\infty}=2.52925, A=0.998887$, and $b=1.3125$. The sum of square errors is 0.0024580 . The model satisfies $c(2)=2.34624$ with a percent error of $1.569 \%$. It satisfies $c(5)=2.52568$ with a percent error of $-0.9536 \%$. The $c$-intercept is $c(0)=0.00281484$. The horizontal asymptote matches $c_{\infty}=2.52925$.
b. The model given by

$$
C_{n+1}=\alpha C_{n}+\mu,
$$

has the best fitting initial value $C_{0}=0.00281484$, while the best fitting parameters are $\alpha=0.269147$ and $\mu=1.8485$. The sum of square errors is the same at 0.0024580 . The model is effectively the same, so satisfies $c(2)=2.34624$ with a percent error of $1.569 \%$. It satisfies $c(5)=2.52568$ with a percent error of $-0.9536 \%$. The equilibrium for this model is $C_{e}=2.52925$. It follows that these two models are mathematically equivalent.
c. From Maple, $c^{\prime}(t)=3.315946 e^{-1.3125 t}$. From this formula, it follows that $c^{\prime}(0)=3.315946$, $c^{\prime}(1)=0.89247$, and $c^{\prime}(2)=0.24021$. With the second model's values at $C_{0}=0.00281484$ and $C_{2}=2.34624$, it follows that

$$
\Delta C_{1}=\frac{C_{2}-C_{0}}{2}=1.171712580
$$

This value is a bit higher than $c^{\prime}(1)$.

